arXiv:1409.0793v2 [math.NT] 8 Jun 2016

REGULARIZED INNER PRODUCTS OF MEROMORPHIC MODULAR FORMS AND HIGHER GREEN'S FUNCTIONS

KATHRIN BRINGMANN, BEN KANE, AND ANNA-MARIA VON PIPPICH

Abstract. We investigate Petersson inner products for meromorphic cusp forms, and in particular for those constructed via sums over binary quadratic forms of negative discriminant. Since the naive definition, as well as known regularizations, diverge, we introduce a new regularization which exists in all cases. The inner product with other meromorphic cusp forms yields a likewise-defined new family of so-called polar Maass forms, which are essentially the elliptic coefficients of the first member and are the image of it under certain natural differential operators. These elliptic coefficients are related to higher Green's function, yielding the evaluation of higher Green's functions at CM-points. This yields a connection between the inner product of meromorphic cusp forms and the infinite part of the height pairing of CM-cycles.

1. Introduction and statement of results

While investigating the Doi-Naganuma lift, Zagier [43] encountered interesting weight $2k$ cusp forms for $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ ($z \in \mathbb{H}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$, $\delta \in \mathbb{N}$)

$$f_{k,\delta}(z) := \sum_{\mathcal{Q} \in \mathcal{Q}_\delta} \mathcal{Q}(z,1)^{-k}, \tag{1.1}$$

where $\mathcal{Q}_\delta$ is the set of integral binary quadratic forms of discriminant δ. Kohnen and Zagier [36] then used these to construct a kernel function for the Shimura and Shintani lift. Integrating the resulting theta function against half-integral weight (parabolic) Poincaré series yields the functions $f_{k,\delta}$ as theta lifts. The classical theta lift is constructed from an integral quadratic form of signature $(2,1)$, which was later extended by Oda [39] to signature $(2,n)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and fit into the general framework of the theta correspondence between automorphic forms associated to two groups of a dual reductive pair [31]. Theta lifts have appeared in a variety of applications, including a relation of Katok and Sarnak [33] between the central values of L-series of Maass cusp forms and the Fourier coefficients of corresponding Maass cusp forms under the Shimura lift. Paralleling the results in [33], the realization of $f_{k,\delta}$ as a theta lift leads to non-negativity of twisted central L-values [36]. The $f_{k,\delta}$ may also be viewed as a sum of (hyperbolic) Poincaré series which appeared in previous work of Petersson [40]; a good overview of these Poincaré series and their connection to $f_{k,\delta}$ may be found in [32]. To give another example of their importance, Kohnen and Zagier [37] showed that the even periods of $f_{k,\delta}$ are rational. This question was recently revisited by Duke, Imamo$\overline{\text{g}}$lu, and Tóth [20] in the framework of *weakly holomorphic modular forms*, i.e., meromorphic modular forms with poles only at cusps. One can also define cusp forms $f_{k,\delta,[Q]}$ by restricting the sum defining $f_{k,\delta}$ in (1.1) to those $\mathcal{Q}$ in a fixed $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$-equivalence class $[Q]$ of binary quadratic forms for some $Q \in \mathcal{Q}_\delta$. These functions then span the space of weight $2k$ cusp forms [35].

Choosing the discriminant negative instead, Bengoechea [4] constructed meromorphic modular forms with poles at CM-points. To describe these, for a positive-definite integral binary quadratic

Date: June 9, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11F37, 11F11.

Key words and phrases. CM-values, harmonic Maass forms, higher Green's functions, meromorphic modular forms, polar harmonic Maass forms, regularized Petersson inner products, theta lifts, weakly holomorphic modular forms.

form Q of discriminant $-D$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$, define

$$f_Q(z) = f_{k,-D,[Q]}(z) := D^{\frac{k}{2}} \sum_{\mathcal{Q} \in [Q]} \mathcal{Q}(z,1)^{-k}.$$

Note that these functions agree with elliptic Poincaré series constructed by Petersson (see (21) of [42]). This implies that they are elements of $\mathbb{S}_{2k}$, the space of *meromorphic cusp forms*, which are meromorphic modular forms which decay like cusp forms towards $i\infty$.

Given the connection between (1.1) and theta lifts, it is natural to investigate the interrelation between theta lifts and the sum

$$f_{k,-D} := \sum_{[Q] \in \mathcal{Q}_{-D}/\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})} f_{k,-D,[Q]}$$

over all (not necessarily positive-definite) $[Q] \in \mathcal{Q}_{-D}/\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. The inputs to the theta lifts are weight $k+1/2$ weakly holomorphic Poincaré series $g_{k,-D}$, defined in (4.3) below. However, due to singularities at cusps, the naive theta lift diverges. This problem has previously occurred in a number of applications, including generalized Kac–Moody algebras [26] and the arithmetic of Shimura varieties [18]. To extend the naive theta lifts one may use a regularization of Petersson [42] which was later rediscovered and generalized by Borcherds [6] and Harvey-Moore [28]. In Theorem 4.1 below, we prove that the functions $f_{k,-D}$ indeed appear as images under the resulting regularized theta lift Φ_k, defined in (4.2) below.

There is another closely related natural theta kernel Θ^*_{1-k} (see (4.6) below) and we denote the corresponding theta lift by Φ^*_{1-k}. Taking as input certain weight $3/2-k$ harmonic Maass forms (see (4.9) below), yields natural weight $2-2k$ analogues of the functions f_Q, defined by

$$\mathcal{G}_Q(z) = \mathcal{G}_{1-k,-D,[Q]}(z) := D^{\frac{1-k}{2}} \sum_{\mathcal{Q} \in [Q]} \mathcal{Q}(z,1)^{k-1} \int_0^{\operatorname{artanh}\left(\frac{\sqrt{D}}{\mathcal{Q}_z}\right)} \sinh^{2k-2}(\theta) d\theta. \tag{1.2}$$

Here, for $\mathcal{Q} = [a,b,c]$ and $z = x+iy \in \mathbb{H}$, we set

$$\mathcal{Q}_z := y^{-1}\left(a|z|^2 + bx + c\right). \tag{1.3}$$

We next investigate a number of properties of the functions $\mathcal{G}_Q$. They are weight $2-2k$ so-called polar harmonic Maass forms (see Theorem 5.1 below). These are defined the same as meromorphic modular forms, except that instead of being meromorphic they are annihilated by the weight $2-2k$ hyperbolic Laplacian $\Delta_{2-2k} := -\xi_{2k} \circ \xi_{2-2k}$, where $\xi_\kappa := 2iy^\kappa \overline{\frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}}}$. Furthermore, $\mathcal{G}_Q$ are essentially preimages of f_Q under the differential operators ξ_{2-2k} and $\mathcal{D}^{2k-1}$, where $\mathcal{D} := \frac{1}{2\pi i}\frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ (see Theorem 5.1 below). Polar harmonic Maass forms have elliptic expansions. We do not give the full shape in the introduction, but instead only note that they naturally split into meromorphic and non-meromorphic parts. The meromorphic part has the same shape as the *elliptic expansion* of a meromorphic modular form f around $\varrho \in \mathbb{H}$, namely

$$f(z) = (z-\overline{\varrho})^{-2k} \sum_{n \gg -\infty} a_f(\varrho; n) X_\varrho^n(z), \qquad \text{with} \qquad X_\varrho(z) := \frac{z-\varrho}{z-\overline{\varrho}}. \tag{1.4}$$

If one thinks of ϱ itself as a variable, then the elliptic coefficients in the meromorphic part of $\mathcal{G}_Q$ also form a family of functions. Note that for meromorphic modular forms f, (1.4) implies that if f does not have a pole at ϱ, then, up to powers of $\eta := \mathrm{Im}(\varrho)$, $f(\varrho)$ is $a_f(\varrho;0)$. Similarly, if $[\varrho] \neq [\tau_Q]$, then the 0th coefficient of $\mathcal{G}_Q$ is essentially $\mathcal{G}_Q$ itself. More generally, up to powers of η, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$

the $(n-1)$th coefficient of $\mathcal{G}_Q$ may be written (see Theorem 8.1 below)

$$\mathcal{F}_{n,Q}(\varrho) = \mathcal{F}_{n-k,n,-D,[Q]}(\varrho) := D^{\frac{k}{2}} \sum_{\mathcal{Q}\in[Q]} \mathcal{Q}_\varrho^{n-2k} \mathcal{Q}(\varrho,1)^{k-n} {}_2F_1\left(k-\frac{n-1}{2}, k-\frac{n}{2}; k+\frac{1}{2}; \frac{D}{\mathcal{Q}_\varrho^2}\right), \tag{1.5}$$

where ${}_2F_1$ is the Gauss hypergeometric function. Such hypergeometric functions also show up in outputs of theta lifts of half-integral weight Maass–Poincaré series $\mathcal{P}_{n-k,n,-D}$, given in (4.7) below, having weight $n-k+1/2$ and Laplace eigenvalue $\frac{(n-1)}{2}(\frac{n}{2}-k)$ (see Theorem 4.2 below).

In addition to linking $\mathcal{F}_{n,Q}$ and $\mathcal{G}_Q$ via the elliptic expansion of $\mathcal{G}_Q$, we relate them by repeated raising ($j \in \mathbb{N}_0$) $R_\kappa^j := R_{\kappa+2j-2} \circ \cdots \circ R_\kappa$ of $\mathcal{G}_Q(z)$, with $R_\kappa := 2i\frac{\partial}{\partial z} + \frac{\kappa}{y}$ the *raising operator*.

Theorem 1.1. *For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have*

$$\mathcal{F}_{n,Q} = \frac{(2k-1)}{(n-1)!} R_{2-2k}^{n-1}\left(\mathcal{G}_Q\right).$$

In particular, $\mathcal{F}_{n,Q}$ is a weight $2n-2k$ polar Maass form with eigenvalue $(n-1)(n-2k)$.

The polar Maass forms $\mathcal{F}_{n,Q}$ can be split into two classes, depending on whether $n < 2k$ or $n \geq 2k$. For $n < 2k$, they are linked to the higher Green's functions G_k, which were investigated by a number of authors (cf. [24, 27, 29, 38] and Section 2.4 below for their definition). For $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2k}$, they are *almost meromorphic modular forms*, functions $f(z)$ which are modular and polynomials in y^{-1} with meromorphic coefficients, and are hence in a sense simpler than the cases $n < 2k$. To give the statement, we set $\omega_\mathfrak{z} := \#\Gamma_\mathfrak{z}$, where $\Gamma_\mathfrak{z}$ is the stabilizer of the action of $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ on $\mathfrak{z} \in \mathbb{H}$, and recall that $\omega_\mathfrak{z} = 1$ unless $\mathfrak{z} = \tau_Q$ with $Q \in \{\mathcal{Q}_{-3}, \mathcal{Q}_{-4}\}$, in which case $\omega_\mathfrak{z} = 3$ or 2, respectively; a more general version is given in Proposition 8.6 below.

Theorem 1.2.

(1) *For $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\leq k}$ and $z \in \mathbb{H}$, we have*

$$\mathcal{F}_{n,Q}(z) = -\frac{(2k-1)!}{2^k \omega_{\tau_Q}(k-1)!(2k-n-1)!} y^{2k-2n} \overline{R_0^{k-n}\left(G_k(z,\tau_Q)\right)}.$$

In particular

$$\mathcal{F}_{k,Q}(z) = -\frac{G_k(z,\tau_Q)}{2^k \omega_{\tau_Q} \beta(k,k)}.$$

(2) *For $n \in \mathbb{N}_{>k}$ and $z \in \mathbb{H}$, we have*

$$\mathcal{F}_{n,Q}(z) = -\frac{(2k-1)!}{2^k \omega_{\tau_Q}(k-1)!(n-1)!} R_0^{n-k}\left(G_k(z,\tau_Q)\right).$$

(3) *For $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2k}$, $\mathcal{F}_{n,Q}$ are almost meromorphic modular forms. In particular,*

$$\mathcal{F}_{2k,Q} = f_Q.$$

Remarks.

(1) Both sides of the identities in Theorem 1.2 have singularities for $[z] = [\tau_Q]$, but throughout we do not explicitly state the points where singularities occur.
(2) Since $\mathcal{F}_{2k,Q}$ coincides with f_Q and $\mathcal{F}_{k,Q}(z)$ equals $G_k(z,\tau_Q)$ up to constants, the identities between the $\mathcal{F}_{n,Q}$ implied by Theorem 1.1 yield a relation between G_k and f_Q.
(3) By considering the CM-point τ_Q as a variable, Theorem 1.2 reveals that the functions $\mathcal{F}_{n,Q}$ are special values of two-variable generalizations which are well-defined and continuous everywhere. Further properties of these functions are studied in Section 6 below.

We summarize the investigation thusfar, up to implied constants, in the following diagram:



We re-encounter the functions $\mathcal{G}_Q$ when intergrating meromorphic cusp forms against f_Q. Since Petersson's regularization (see Satz 1 of [42]) does not exist in all cases required for this paper, we define a new generalization in (3.3) below, which we again denote by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$.

Theorem 1.3. *The regularized inner product $\langle f, g \rangle$ always exists for $f, g \in \mathbb{S}_{2k}$, it is Hermitian, and it equals Petersson's regularization whenever his exists.*

Remark. Petersson's norm $\|f\|$ for any (non-cuspidal) $f \in \mathbb{S}_{2k}$ always diverges (see Satz 1 of [42]), so one cannot use it to extend the classical inner product on any larger subspace.

The functions $\mathcal{F}_{n,Q}$ reappear when evaluating $\langle f, f_Q \rangle$ via the elliptic expansion (1.4). These inner products are computed and rewritten in a number of different forms in this paper (see Theorems 7.1, 7.2, and 8.4 below). To give a flavor of the results, we let $f_n^{z_0} \in \mathbb{S}_{2k}$ be any form whose elliptic expansion (1.4) around its unique pole $[z_0] \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})\backslash\mathbb{H}$ with $z_0 = x_0 + iy_0$ is

$$\mathcal{C}_k \frac{\omega_{z_0}}{y_0^{n-2k}} (z - \overline{z_0})^{-2k} X_{z_0}^{-n}(z) + O(1),$$

where $\mathcal{C}_k := (2k-1)/(\pi(-4)^{1-k})$. The following is a special case of Theorem 8.4 (1) below.

Theorem 1.4. *If $[z_0] \neq [\tau_Q]$, then*

$$\langle f_n^{z_0}, f_Q \rangle = \mathcal{F}_{n,Q}(z_0)\,.$$

Remarks.

(1) For the ommitted case $z_0 = \tau_Q$, the inner product is evaluated in terms of elliptic coefficients in Theorem 7.1 below. If the pole is simple, then it is written in Theorem 8.4 (2) below as a "regularized" version of $\mathcal{G}_Q$, where Q is ommitted in the sum over $\mathcal{Q} \in [Q]$.

(2) The functions $f_n^{z_0}$ are unique up to addition by a cusp form and existence may be realized via the Poincaré series $\Psi_{2k,-n}(z, z_0)$ defined in (2.11). Although they are not unique, this ambiguity is explained by the fact that f_Q is orthogonal to cusp forms, as shown by Petersson (see Satz 8 of [42] with $r = 2k$ and $\nu = -k$).

Theorem 1.4 yields the following diagram, up to implied constants:



We next consider an application of the inner product to higher Green's functions. These have appeared in a number of interesting applications and their evaluations at pairs of CM-points (i.e., essentially $\mathcal{F}_{k,Q}$ evaluated at CM-points by Theorem 1.2 (1)) has been heavily studied after appearing in Gross and Zagier's [27] investigation of height pairings. Values of higher Green's functions at CM-points are believed to be a power of the product of the discriminants of these CM-points times the logarithm of an algebraic number, a number cases of which were proven by Mellit [38] and others. Combining Theorem 8.4 below with Theorem 1.2 (1) yields a Gross–Zagier-type formula [27], but with height pairings replaced by inner products.

Theorem 1.5. *For $Q \in \mathcal{Q}_{-D_1}$ and $\mathcal{Q} \in \mathcal{Q}_{-D_2}$ ($-D_1, -D_2 < 0$ discriminants) with $[\tau_Q] \neq [\tau_{\mathcal{Q}}]$,*

$$\langle f_{\mathcal{Q}}, f_Q \rangle = -\frac{\pi(-4)^{1-k}}{(2k-1)\beta(k,k)} \frac{G_k(\tau_{\mathcal{Q}}, \tau_Q)}{\omega_{\tau_{\mathcal{Q}}}\omega_{\tau_Q}}.$$

Remarks.

(1) Replacing $f_{\mathcal{Q}}$ and f_Q with more general functions $\Psi_{2k,-k}(\cdot, z_j)$ (defined in (2.11) below) having poles at arbitrary $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{H}$, one obtains a relation with $G_k(z_1, z_2)$.

(2) By Theorem 4.1 below, $f_{k,-D}$ appear as theta lifts. In light of Theorem 1.5, it might be interesting to investigate the inner product between $f_{k,-D}$ and f_Q by reversing the order of the inner product and the theta lift. This may lead to connections with some known relations between the Gross–Zagier formula and theta lifts (cf. [14]).

Given the interest in G_k evaluated at CM-points, one may wonder what further implications Theorem 1.5 has. Possible future directions of study along these lines are discussed in Section 9 below. The relation between higher Green's functions and both the $\mathcal{F}_{n,Q}$ in Theorem 1.2 and inner products in Theorem 1.5 leads one to search for connections with geometry. For $k = 1$, which is excluded here, Gross and Zagier (see Proposition 2.22 in Section II of [27]) related the Green's function evaluated at CM-points with the height pairing on divisors of degree 0. This has been generalized to higher k by Zhang [46], who obtained an identity between the infinite part of the height pairing of CM-cycles and higher Green's functions at CM-points. Combining Zhang's result with Theorem 1.5 yields a relation between the inner product and the height pairing of CM-cycles. With $S_k(\tau_Q)$ the CM-cycle associated to τ_Q, constructed in Section 0.1 of [46], and using $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_h$ to denote the infinite part of the height pairing, the following is a direct consequence of Theorem 1.5 and Proposition 4.1.2 of [46].

Corollary 1.6. *For $Q \in \mathcal{Q}_{-D_1}$ and $\mathcal{Q} \in \mathcal{Q}_{-D_2}$ ($-D_1, -D_2 < 0$ discriminants) with $[\tau_Q] \neq [\tau_{\mathcal{Q}}]$,*

$$\langle f_{\mathcal{Q}}, f_Q \rangle = -\frac{2\pi(-4)^{1-k}}{(2k-1)\beta(k,k)} \frac{\langle S_k(\tau_{\mathcal{Q}}), S_k(\tau_Q) \rangle_h}{\omega_{\tau_{\mathcal{Q}}}\omega_{\tau_Q}}.$$

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall basic facts about polar harmonic Maass forms and Poincaré series. In Section 3, we introduce a new regularization and prove Theorem 1.3. After recalling the relevant theta functions, f_Q and $\mathcal{F}_{n,Q}$ are realized as theta lifts in Section 4. Section 5 focuses on the properties of $\mathcal{G}_Q$, and the functions $\mathcal{F}_{n,Q}$ are rewritten as two-variable functions in Section 6. In Section 7, we begin the investigation of the inner product between f_Q and meromorphic modular forms, writing it as a product of elliptic coefficients and evaluating this as a residue in Theorem 7.2. We then explicitly evaluate the elliptic coefficients in Section 8, proving Theorems 1.1, 1.2, 1.4, and 1.5. Finally, we discuss future questions and applications in Section 9.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Stephan Ehlen, Jens Funke, Pavel Guerzhoy, Steffen Löbrich, and Tonghai Yang for helpful discussion, and Shaul Zemel for discussions and finding an error in a previous version.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **CM-points and hyperbolic distance.** For a positive-definite $Q = [a, b, c] \in \mathcal{Q}_{-D}$, we write the associated CM-point (note $a > 0$)

$$\tau_Q = u_Q + iv_Q, \qquad \text{with} \qquad u_Q = -\frac{b}{2a} \quad \text{and} \quad v_Q = \frac{\sqrt{D}}{2a}. \tag{2.1}$$

We note that for $z = x + iy \in \mathbb{H}$,

$$Q(z, 1) = \frac{\sqrt{D}}{2v_Q} (z - \overline{\tau_Q})^2 X_{\tau_Q}(z). \tag{2.2}$$

Moreover, for $Q \in \mathcal{Q}_{\mathfrak{D}}$ (with $\mathfrak{D}$ not necessarily negative), we often make use of the identity

$$y^{-2} |Q(z, 1)|^2 = Q_z^2 + \mathfrak{D}, \tag{2.3}$$

with Q_z defined in (1.3). The quantity Q_z naturally occurs when computing the hyperbolic distance $d(z, \mathfrak{z})$ between two points $z, \mathfrak{z} = \mathfrak{z}_1 + i\mathfrak{z}_2 \in \mathbb{H}$, which is expressed through (see p. 131 of [3])

$$\cosh(d(z, \mathfrak{z})) = 1 + \frac{|z - \mathfrak{z}|^2}{2y_{\mathfrak{z}_2}}. \tag{2.4}$$

Choosing $\mathfrak{z} = \tau_Q$ to be a CM-point in particular, we have the equality

$$\cosh(d(z, \tau_Q)) = \frac{Q_z}{\sqrt{D}}. \tag{2.5}$$

Finally, for $z \in \mathbb{H}$ (and $\varrho \in \mathbb{H}$ fixed), we set

$$r_\varrho(z) := \tanh\left(\frac{d(z, \varrho)}{2}\right) = |X_\varrho(z)|, \tag{2.6}$$

which plays an important role in Section 3 below.

2.2. **Polar harmonic Maass forms and their elliptic expansions.** To define polar harmonic Maass forms, for $\gamma = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and $f\colon \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{C}$, the weight $\kappa \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ slash-action is

$$f|_\kappa \gamma(\tau) := \begin{cases} (c\tau + d)^{-\kappa} f(\gamma\tau) & \text{if } \kappa \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ \left(\frac{c}{d}\right)^{2\kappa} \varepsilon_d^{2\kappa} (c\tau + d)^{-\kappa} f(\gamma\tau) & \text{if } \kappa \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}\backslash\mathbb{Z}, \end{cases}$$

with the Kronecker symbol $(\frac{\cdot}{\cdot})$ and $\varepsilon_d = 1$ or $\varepsilon_d = i$ for $d \equiv 1 \pmod 4$ or $d \equiv 3 \pmod 4$.

Definition 2.1. For $N \in \mathbb{N}$, a *weight* $\kappa \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ *polar harmonic Maass form* (*on* $\Gamma_0(N)$) is a real-analytic function $\mathcal{M}\colon \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{C}$ outside of finitely many singularities in $\Gamma_0(N)\backslash(\mathbb{H} \cup \mathbb{Q})$ satisfying:

(1) For all $\gamma \in \Gamma_0(N)$, we have $\mathcal{M}|_\kappa \gamma = \mathcal{M}$.

(2) The function $\mathcal{M}$ is annihilated by the *weight* κ *hyperbolic Laplacian* ($\tau = u + iv$)

$$\Delta_\kappa := -v^2 \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial u^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial v^2}\right) + i\kappa v \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u} + i\frac{\partial}{\partial v}\right) = -\xi_{2-\kappa} \circ \xi_\kappa. \tag{2.7}$$

(3) For every $\varrho \in \mathbb{H}$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(\tau - \varrho)^n \mathcal{M}(\tau)$ is bounded for $r_\varrho(\tau) \ll_{\mathcal{M}} 1$.

(4) The function $\mathcal{M}$ grows at most linear exponentially at the cusps.

If the only singularities of $\mathcal{M}$ occur at the cusps, then $\mathcal{M}$ is a *harmonic Maass form*.

Remark. For $\kappa < 1$, the Fourier expansion of a polar harmonic Maass form at $i\infty$ has a natural splitting of the shape (convergent for v sufficiently large, with $a^{\pm}(n) \in \mathbb{C}$)

$$\mathcal{M}(\tau) = \mathcal{M}^+(\tau) + \mathcal{M}^-(\tau),$$

where the *holomorphic* and *non-holomorphic parts* (at $i\infty$) are defined, respectively, by

$$\mathcal{M}^+(\tau) := \sum_{n \gg -\infty} a^+(n) e^{2\pi i n \tau},$$
$$\mathcal{M}^-(\tau) := a^-(0) v^{1-\kappa} + \sum_{0 \neq n \ll \infty} a^-(n) \Gamma\left(1-\kappa, -4\pi n v\right) e^{2\pi i n \tau}.$$

Here $\Gamma(r,v) := \int_v^\infty t^{r-1} e^{-t} dt$ is the *incomplete gamma function*. There are similar expansions around the other cusps. One reason that the splitting into holomorphic and non-holomorphic parts is natural is that the holomorphic part is annihilated by ξ_κ. Both parts can have singularities; the singularities in the holomorphic part are poles, while one can determine the kind of singularities in the non-holomorphic part by noting that its image under ξ_κ is meromorphic. The terms in the expansion which grow as $v \to \infty$ are called the *principal part of* $\mathcal{M}$ (at $i\infty$). The coefficients a^- are closely related to coefficients of meromorphic modular forms of weight $2-\kappa$, following from the fact that if $\mathcal{M}$ is modular of weight κ, then $\xi_\kappa(\mathcal{M})$ is modular of weight $2-\kappa$. Thus ξ_κ maps weight κ polar harmonic Maass forms to weight $2-\kappa$ meromorphic modular forms.

Solving the second-order differential equation coming from (2.7), one obtains an elliptic expansion of polar harmonic Maass forms which parallels the expansion (1.4) for meromorphic cusp forms. The resulting expansion is given in Proposition 2.2 of [8], which Pioline later pointed out appears as a special case of Theorem 1.1 of [24]. To describe it, for $0 \leq y < 1$, $a \in \mathbb{N}$, $b \in \mathbb{Z}$, we let

$$\beta_0(y; a, b) := \beta(y; a, b) - \mathcal{C}_{a,b} \qquad \text{with} \qquad \mathcal{C}_{a,b} := \sum_{\substack{0 \leq j \leq a-1 \\ j \neq -b}} \binom{a-1}{j} \frac{(-1)^j}{j+b}, \tag{2.8}$$

where $\beta(y; a, b) := \int_0^y t^{a-1}(1-t)^{b-1} dt$ denotes the *incomplete beta function*. For a polar harmonic Maass form $\mathcal{M}$ of weight $2-2k < 0$ and $\varrho \in \mathbb{H}$, there exist $a_\varrho^\pm(n) \in \mathbb{C}$ such that for $r_\varrho(z) \ll_\varrho 1$

$$\mathcal{M}(z) = (z-\overline{\varrho})^{2k-2} \left(\sum_{n \gg -\infty} a_\varrho^+(n) X_\varrho^n(z) + \sum_{n \ll \infty} a_\varrho^-(n) \beta_0\left(1 - r_\varrho^2(z); 2k-1, -n\right) X_\varrho^n(z) \right). \tag{2.9}$$

The *meromorphic* and the *non-meromorphic parts* of the ellipic expansion around ϱ are

$$\mathcal{M}_\varrho^+(z) := (z-\overline{\varrho})^{2k-2} \sum_{n \gg -\infty} a_\varrho^+(n) X_\varrho^n(z),$$
$$\mathcal{M}_\varrho^-(z) := (z-\overline{\varrho})^{2k-2} \sum_{n \ll \infty} a_\varrho^-(n) \beta_0\left(1 - r_\varrho^2(z); 2k-1, -n\right) X_\varrho^n(z).$$

We refer to the terms in (2.9) which grow as $z \to \varrho$ as the *principal part around* ϱ.

For $2-2k < 0$, the principal parts of the Fourier expansions around all cusps and the principal parts of the elliptic expansions uniquely determine the form. Indeed, Proposition 3.5 of [15] implies that any harmonic Maass form $\mathcal{M}$ without any singularities must satisfy $\xi_{2-2k}(\mathcal{M}) = 0$ and there are no non-trivial negative-weight holomorphic modular forms.

2.3. **Poincaré series.** In this section, we recall Maass–Poincaré series [24] with singularities at the cusps, which are used as inputs of certain theta lifts, and Petersson's meromorphic Poincaré series [40, 41], which are closely connected to f_Q.

To construct the Maass–Poincaré series, for $t \in \mathbb{R} \backslash \{0\}$, let

$$\mathcal{M}_{\kappa,s}(t) := |t|^{-\frac{\kappa}{2}} M_{\frac{\kappa}{2}\operatorname{sgn}(t), s-\frac{1}{2}}(|t|),$$

with $M_{\mu,\nu}$ the usual M-Whittaker function. For $\mu, s \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\mathrm{Re}\,(s \pm \mu) > 0$ and $v > 0$, we have

$$M_{\mu,s-\frac{1}{2}}(v) = v^s e^{\frac{v}{2}} \frac{\Gamma(2s)}{\Gamma(s+\mu)\Gamma(s-\mu)} \int_0^1 t^{s+\mu-1}(1-t)^{s-\mu-1} e^{-vt} dt;$$

and if $s = \mu/2$, then $M_{\mu,s-1/2}(v) = e^{-\frac{v}{2}} v^s$. For $m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ and $\kappa \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$,

$$\psi_{\kappa,m,s}(\tau) := (4\pi|m|)^{\frac{\kappa}{2}} \mathcal{M}_{\kappa,s}(4\pi m v)\, e^{2\pi i m u}$$

is then an eigenfunction of Δ_κ with eigenvalue $(s-\kappa/2)(1-s-\kappa/2)$. Denoting by $|_\kappa \mathrm{pr}$ the identity for $\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}$ and Kohnen's projection operator (see p. 250 of [34]) for $\kappa \notin \mathbb{Z}$, one concludes that for $\sigma := \mathrm{Re}(s) > 1$, the following Poincaré series are also eigenfunctions under Δ_κ:

$$P_{\kappa,m,s} := \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty \backslash \Gamma_0(4)} \psi_{\kappa,m,s}\Big|_\kappa \gamma \Big|_\kappa \mathrm{pr}. \tag{2.10}$$

Here $\Gamma_\infty := \{\pm \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & n \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right) : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. If $s = 1-\kappa/2$ or $s = \kappa/2$, the funtions $P_{\kappa,m,s}$ are harmonic. The Poincaré series satisfy the growth condition

$$P_{\kappa,m,s}(\tau) - \psi_{\kappa,m,s}(\tau)\,\big|_\kappa \mathrm{pr} = O\left(v^{1-\mathrm{Re}(s)-\frac{\kappa}{2}}\right).$$

We next turn to Poincaré series with singularities in $\mathbb{H}$, defining, for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\Psi_{\kappa,n}(z,\mathfrak{z}) := \sum_{M \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})} \Big((z-\overline{\mathfrak{z}})^{-\kappa} X_{\mathfrak{z}}^n(z) \Big)\Big|_{\kappa,z} M. \tag{2.11}$$

For $\kappa > 2$ even, $z \mapsto \Psi_{\kappa,n}(z,\mathfrak{z}) \in \mathbb{S}_\kappa$ and $\mathfrak{z} \mapsto \mathfrak{z}_2^{-\kappa-n}\Psi_{\kappa,n}(z,\mathfrak{z})$ are modular of weight $-2n-\kappa$ (see page 72 of [41]). Moreover, they vanish identically if $n \not\equiv -\kappa/2 \pmod{\omega_{\mathfrak{z}}}$ and are cusp forms if $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Furthermore, $\{\Psi_{\kappa,n}(z,\mathfrak{z}) : \mathfrak{z} \in \mathbb{H}, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ spans $\mathbb{S}_\kappa$ (see Sätze 7 and 9 of [42]). The principal part of $\Psi_{\kappa,m}$ has a simple shape. Moreover, writing $a(n) := a_f(\varrho;n) - \delta_{n=m}\delta_{[\mathfrak{z}]=[\varrho]}$ for $f(z) = (2\omega_{\mathfrak{z}})^{-1}\Psi_{\kappa,m}(z,\mathfrak{z})$, Satz 7 of [42] implies that

$$(2\omega_{\mathfrak{z}})^{-1}\Psi_{\kappa,m}(z,\mathfrak{z}) = (z-\overline{\varrho})^{-\kappa}\left(\delta_{[\mathfrak{z}]=[\varrho]} X_\varrho^m(z) + \sum_{n \geq 0} a(n) X_\varrho^n(z)\right). \tag{2.12}$$

Moreover, f_Q is a special case of $\Psi_{2k,-k}$, as given in the following straightforward lemma.

Lemma 2.2. *We have*

$$f_Q(z) = \frac{(2v_Q)^k}{2\omega_{\tau_Q}} \Psi_{2k,-k}(z,\tau_Q). \tag{2.13}$$

2.4. **Higher Green's functions.** For $z, \mathfrak{z} \in \mathbb{H}$ and $s \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\sigma > 1$, the *automorphic Green's function* on $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})\backslash\mathbb{H}$ is given by

$$G_s(z,\mathfrak{z}) := -\sum_{M \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})} Q_{s-1}(\cosh(d(z, M\mathfrak{z}))), \tag{2.14}$$

where Q_s denotes the Legendre function of the second kind. Note that there are different normalizations of G_s in the literature; our normalization agrees with that in [38]. Automorphic Green's functions can be defined for arbitrary Fuchsian groups of the first kind, and hence in particular for any congruence groups, and arise as the resolvent kernel for the hyperbolic Laplacian [24, 29].

In the case that $s = k \in \mathbb{N}_{>1}$, the function $G_k : \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{C}$ is called a *higher Green's function*. It is uniquely characterized by the following properties:

(1) The function G_k is smooth and real-valued on $\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H} \setminus \{(z, Mz) : M \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}), z \in \mathbb{H}\}$.
(2) For $M_1, M_2 \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, we have $G_k(M_1 z, M_2 \mathfrak{z}) = G_k(z,\mathfrak{z})$.

(3) We have

$$\Delta_{0,z}(G_k(z,\mathfrak{z})) = \Delta_{0,\mathfrak{z}}(G_k(z,\mathfrak{z})) = k(1-k)G_k(z,\mathfrak{z}).$$

(4) As $z \to \mathfrak{z}$, we have

$$G_k(z,\mathfrak{z}) = 2\omega_{\mathfrak{z}} \log(r_{\mathfrak{z}}(z)) + O(1).$$

(5) As z approaches a cusp, $G_k(z,\mathfrak{z}) \to 0$.

These higher Green's functions have a long history (cf. [24, 27, 29, 38]). For example, Gross and Zagier [27] conjectured that their evaluations at CM-points are essentially logarithms of algebraic numbers. If $S_{2k}(\Gamma) = \{0\}$, for $\Gamma \subseteq \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ of finite index, then the conjecture reads as

$$G_k(z,\mathfrak{z}) = (D_1 D_2)^{\frac{1-k}{2}} \log(\alpha)$$

for CM-points $z, \mathfrak{z}$ of discriminants D_1 and D_2 respectively and some algebraic α. Various cases of this conjecture have been solved. For example, Mellit [38] proved the case $k = 2$, $\mathfrak{z} = i$, for $\Gamma = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, and also interpreted α as an intersection number of certain algebraic cycles.

3. Regularized Petersson inner products and the proof of Theorem 1.3

3.1. **Known regularized inner products.** The classical Petersson inner product of two weight $\kappa \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ (holomorphic) modular forms f and g on $\Gamma_0(N)$ (fg a cusp form) is given by

$$\langle f, g\rangle := \frac{1}{[\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma_0(N)]} \int_{\Gamma_0(N)\backslash\mathbb{H}} f(z)\overline{g(z)} y^{\kappa} \frac{dxdy}{y^2}. \tag{3.1}$$

Although (3.1) generally diverges for meromorphic modular forms, one may still define regularized inner product in some cases. The first to do so appears to be Petersson [42]. However, his regularization still sometimes diverges, and Petersson gave a necessary and sufficient condition for convergence in Satz 1a of [42]. In particular, for $f, g \in \mathbb{S}_{\kappa}$, his regularization converges if and only if, for every $n < 0$,

$$a_f(\varrho; n) a_g(\varrho; n) = 0. \tag{3.2}$$

If all of the poles of f and g are at the cusps, the regularization of Petersson was later rediscovered and extended by Harvey-Moore [28] and Borcherds [6] and subsequently used by Bruinier [13] and others to obtain a regularized integral which converges in many cases. In this paper, we use this regularization to take theta lifts of functions with singularities. To give a full definition, we require some notation. We let $\mathcal{F}_T$ be the restriction of the standard fundamental domain for $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ to $y \le T$ and let $\mathcal{F}_T(N) := \bigcup_{M \in \Gamma_0(N)\backslash \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})} M\mathcal{F}_T$. Then, for functions f and g of weight $\kappa \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ for $\Gamma_0(N)$, we define (if it exists)

$$\langle f, g\rangle := \frac{1}{[\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma_0(N)]} \lim_{T\to\infty} \int_{\mathcal{F}_T(N)} f(z)\overline{g(z)} y^{\kappa} \frac{dxdy}{y^2}.$$

The definition above may be interpreted as cutting out neighborhoods around cusps and letting the hyperbolic volume of the neighborhood shrink to zero. If poles occur in $\mathbb{H}$, the construction in [42] is similar. For $f, g \in \mathbb{S}_{2k}$ with poles at $[z_1], \dots, [z_r] \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})\backslash\mathbb{H}$, we choose a fundamental domain $\mathcal{F}^*$ such that the representatives of $[z_1], \dots, [z_r]$ in $\mathcal{F}^*$ all lie in the interior of $\Gamma_{z_\ell}\mathcal{F}^*$; by a slight abuse of notation, we denote these representatives also by $z_1, \dots, z_r$. Petersson's regularized inner product between f and g is, with $\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon(\mathfrak{z}) := \{z \in \mathbb{H} : r_{\mathfrak{z}}(z) < \varepsilon\}$, defined by

$$\langle f, g\rangle := \lim_{\varepsilon_1,\dots,\varepsilon_r \to 0^+} \int_{\mathcal{F}^*\backslash\left(\bigcup_{\ell=1}^r \mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon_\ell}(z_\ell)\right)} f(z)\overline{g(z)} y^{2k} \frac{dxdy}{y^2}.$$

3.2. **A new regularization.** In this section we restrict to $\kappa = 2k \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ and $N = 1$, but the construction can easily be generalized to subgroups. We also assume that f, g decay like cusp forms towards the cusps, which is however no restriction by combining with the regularization in Section 3.1. We choose a fundamental domain $\mathcal{F}^*$ as in Section 3.1 and denote the poles of f and g in $\mathcal{F}^*$ by $z_1, \dots, z_r$.

For an analytic function $A(s)$ in $s = (s_1, \dots, s_r)$, denote by $\mathrm{CT}_{s=0}A(s)$ the constant term of the meromorphic continuation of $A(s)$ around $s_1 = \cdots = s_r = 0$ and define

$$\langle f, g\rangle := \mathrm{CT}_{s=0}\left(\int_{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})\backslash\mathbb{H}} f(z)H_s(z)\overline{g(z)}y^{2k}\frac{dxdy}{y^2}\right), \text{ where} \tag{3.3}$$

$$H_s(z) = H_{s_1,\dots,s_r,z_1,\dots,z_r}(z) := \prod_{\ell=1}^{r} h_{s_\ell,z_\ell}(z).$$

Here, for $z_\ell \in \mathcal{F}^*$ and $z \in \mathbb{H}$, $h_{s_\ell,z_\ell}(z) := r_{z_\ell}^{2s_\ell}(Mz)$ (recall $r_\varrho(z)$ from (2.6)), with $M \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ chosen such that $Mz \in \mathcal{F}^*$. Note that, as $z \to M^{-1}z_\ell$, we have $r_{z_\ell}(Mz) \to 0$, so the integral in (3.3) converges for $\sigma \gg 0$, where this notation means that for every $1 \le \ell \le r$, $\sigma_\ell := \mathrm{Re}(s_\ell) \gg 0$. One can show that the regularization is independent of the choice of fundamental domain.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. For $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small (independent of s), we may assume that the $\mathcal{B}_\delta(z_\ell)$ are disjoint and split off the integral over those z that lie in one of these balls.

If $z \notin \mathcal{B}_\delta(z_\ell)$ for all ℓ, then one can bound the integrand locally uniformly for $\sigma > -\varepsilon$ ($\varepsilon > 0$). Hence we conclude that

$$\mathrm{CT}_{s=0}\left(\int_{\mathcal{F}^*\backslash\left(\bigcup_{\ell=1}^r \mathcal{B}_\delta(z_\ell)\right)} f(z)H_s(z)\overline{g(z)}y^{2k}\frac{dxdy}{y^2}\right) = \int_{\mathcal{F}^*\backslash\left(\bigcup_{\ell=1}^r \mathcal{B}_\delta(z_\ell)\right)} f(z)\overline{g(z)}y^{2k}\frac{dxdy}{y^2}. \tag{3.4}$$

Thus we are left to show existence of the meromorphic continuation to $\sigma \le 0$ of

$$\int_{\mathcal{B}_\delta(z_\ell)\cap\mathcal{F}^*} f(z)H_s(z)\overline{g(z)}y^{2k}\frac{dxdy}{y^2}. \tag{3.5}$$

By construction, z_ℓ lies in the interior of $\Gamma_{z_\ell}\mathcal{F}^*$, so we may assume that $\mathcal{B}_\delta(z_\ell) \subseteq \Gamma_{z_\ell}\mathcal{F}^*$. To rewrite (3.5) as an integral over the entire ball $\mathcal{B}_\delta(z_\ell)$ (z_ℓ an elliptic fixed point), we decompose

$$\mathcal{B}_\delta(z_\ell) = \dot{\bigcup}_{M\in\Gamma_{z_\ell}} M\left(\mathcal{B}_\delta(z_\ell) \cap \mathcal{F}^*\right). \tag{3.6}$$

Moreover, bounding $h_{s_m,z_m}(z)$ locally uniformly for $\sigma_m > -\varepsilon$ for $m \ne \ell$, we may plug in $s_m = 0$, and hence invariance of the integrand under $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ implies that the constant term at $s = 0$ of (3.5) is the constant term at $s_\ell = 0$ of

$$\mathcal{I}_{s_\ell,z_\ell,\delta}(f, g) := \frac{1}{\omega_{z_\ell}}\int_{\mathcal{B}_\delta(z_\ell)} f(z)h_{s_\ell,z_\ell}(z)\overline{g(z)}y^{2k}\frac{dxdy}{y^2}.$$

Setting $R := r_{z_\ell}(z)$ for $z \in \mathcal{B}_\delta(z_\ell)$, for $M \in \Gamma_{z_\ell}$, we have

$$h_{s_\ell,z_\ell}(z) = r_{z_\ell}^{2s_\ell}(Mz) = R^{2s_\ell}. \tag{3.7}$$

We now closely follow the proof of Satz 1a in [42]. Changing variables $X_{z_\ell}(z) = Re^{i\vartheta}$ and inserting the elliptic expansions (1.4) of f, g around $z_\ell = x_\ell + iy_\ell$ yield

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{I}_{s_\ell,z_\ell,\delta}(f,g) &= \frac{1}{\omega_{z_\ell}}\int_{\mathcal{B}_\delta(z_\ell)} f(z)\overline{g(z)}r_{z_\ell}^{2s_\ell}(z)y^{2k}\frac{dxdy}{y^2}\\ &= \frac{4}{\omega_{z_\ell}(4y_\ell)^{2k}}\sum_{m,n\gg-\infty} a_f(z_\ell;n)\overline{a_g(z_\ell;m)}\int_0^\delta\int_0^{2\pi} e^{i(n-m)\vartheta}R^{n+m+2s_\ell}\left(1-R^2\right)^{2k-2}Rd\vartheta dR\\ &= \frac{8\pi}{\omega_{z_\ell}(4y_\ell)^{2k}}\sum_{n\gg-\infty} a_f(z_\ell;n)\overline{a_g(z_\ell;n)}\int_0^\delta R^{1+2n+2s_\ell}\left(1-R^2\right)^{2k-2}dR. \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Plugging in the binomial expansion of $(1-R^2)^{2k-2}$, the remaining integral in (3.8) becomes

$$\sum_{j=0}^{2k-2}(-1)^j\binom{2k-2}{j}\int_0^\delta R^{1+2(n+j)+2s_\ell}dR = \sum_{j=0}^{2k-2}(-1)^j\binom{2k-2}{j}\frac{\delta^{2(n+j+1+s_\ell)}}{2\left(n+j+1+s_\ell\right)}.$$

Since this is meromorphic, its constant term exists, yielding existence of the inner product.

We next prove that the inner product is Hermitian. Since the inner product always exists, for $f, g \in \mathbb{S}_{2k}$ the function

$$F_{f,g}(s) := \int_{\mathcal{F}^*} f(z)\overline{g(z)}H_s(z)y^{2k}\frac{dxdy}{y^2}.$$

is meromorphic near $s = 0$. Thus we have an expansion

$$F_{f,g}(s) = \sum_{n_j\in\mathbb{Z}\ (1\le j\le r)} a_{f,g}(n_1,\dots,n_r)s_1^{n_1}\cdot\dots\cdot s_r^{n_r}$$

with $\langle f,g\rangle = a_{f,g}(0,\dots,0)$. Since $r_{z_\ell}(z)\in\mathbb{R}$, $\overline{H_{\overline{s}}(z)} = H_s(z)$, and thus

$$\overline{\langle g,f\rangle} = \overline{a_{g,f}(0,\dots,0)} = \mathrm{CT}_{s=0}\Big(\overline{F_{g,f}\left(\overline{s}\right)}\Big) = \mathrm{CT}_{s=0}\bigg(\int_{\mathcal{F}^*} f(z)\overline{g(z)}\ \overline{H_{\overline{s}}(z)}y^{2k}\frac{dxdy}{y^2}\bigg) = \langle f,g\rangle.$$

We finally show that the new regularization agrees with Petersson's. Denoting $\mathcal{B}\left(z_\ell,\varepsilon,\delta\right) := \left\{z\in\mathbb{H} : \varepsilon < r_{z_\ell}(z) < \delta\right\}$, Petersson's regularization equals

$$\begin{aligned}&\lim_{\varepsilon_1,\dots,\varepsilon_r\to0^+}\int_{\mathcal{F}^*\setminus\left(\bigcup_{\ell=1}^r\mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon_\ell}(z_\ell)\right)} f(z)\overline{g(z)}y^{2k}\frac{dxdy}{y^2}\\ &\quad= \int_{\mathcal{F}^*\setminus\left(\bigcup_{\ell=1}^r\mathcal{B}_\delta(z_\ell)\right)} f(z)\overline{g(z)}y^{2k}\frac{dxdy}{y^2} + \lim_{\varepsilon_1,\dots,\varepsilon_r\to0^+}\int_{\mathcal{F}^*\cap\bigcup_{\ell=1}^r\mathcal{B}(z_\ell,\varepsilon_\ell,\delta)} f(z)\overline{g(z)}y^{2k}\frac{dxdy}{y^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

The first term on the right-hand side of (3.9) is precisely the right-hand side of (3.4). It thus remains to prove that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon_\ell\to0^+}\int_{\mathcal{B}(z_\ell,\varepsilon_\ell,\delta)\cap\mathcal{F}^*} f(z)\overline{g(z)}y^{2k}\frac{dxdy}{y^2} = \mathrm{CT}_{s_\ell=0}\,\mathcal{I}_{s_\ell,z_\ell,\delta}(f,g). \tag{3.10}$$

By the existence condition (3.2), we may plug in $s_\ell = 0$ in (3.8), to obtain that

$$\mathrm{CT}_{s_\ell=0}\,\mathcal{I}_{s_\ell,z_\ell,\delta}(f,g) = \frac{8\pi}{\omega_{z_\ell}(4y_\ell)^{2k}}\sum_{n\ge0} a_f(z_\ell;n)\overline{a_g(z_\ell;n)}\int_0^\delta R^{1+2n}\left(1-R^2\right)^{2k-2}dR.$$

Using (3.6) and then following the calculation in (3.8), plugging the elliptic expansion into the left-hand side of (3.10) yields that the two regularizations match since

$$\lim_{\varepsilon_\ell\to0^+}\int_{\mathcal{B}(z_\ell,\varepsilon_\ell,\delta)} f(z)\overline{g(z)}y^{2k}\frac{dxdy}{y^2} = \frac{8\pi}{(4y_\ell)^{2k}}\sum_{n\ge0} a_f(z_\ell;n)\overline{a_g(z_\ell;n)}\int_0^\delta R^{1+2n}\left(1-R^2\right)^{2k-2}dR.$$

□

4. Theta lifts

4.1. **The theta lift Φ_k.** The classical Shintani theta kernel is given by

$$\Theta_k(z,\tau) := y^{-2k} v^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{D\in\mathbb{Z}} \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{Q}_D} Q(z,1)^k e^{-4\pi Q_z^2 v} e^{2\pi i D\tau}. \tag{4.1}$$

The function $z \mapsto \Theta_k(-\overline{z},\tau)$ is modular of weight $2k$ and $\tau \mapsto \Theta_k(z,\tau)$ is modular of weight $k+1/2$. Hence taking the inner product in either variable yields a lift between integral and half-integral weights. In particular, for a weight $k+1/2$ form H, we define

$$\Phi_k(H)(z) := \langle H, \Theta_k(z,\cdot)\rangle. \tag{4.2}$$

The growth of the theta kernel in the integration variable plays an important role when evaluating theta lifts. We thus note that $\tau \mapsto \Theta_k(z,\tau)$ grows at most polynomially towards the cusps and decays exponentially for $\tau \to i\infty$. To show that it exponentially decays towards $i\infty$, we use (2.3) to rewrite the absolute value of the exponential in the definition (4.1) as $e^{-2\pi v(Q_z^2+|Q(z,1)|^2/y^2)}$ and then note that $Q_z^2 + |Q(z,1)|^2/y^2 > 0$ for $Q \neq [0,0,0]$.

Recalling the definition (2.10) of $P_{k+1/2,m,s}$, we specifically apply the theta lift Φ_k to the weight $k+1/2$ weakly holomorphic Poincaré series

$$g_{k,-D} := \frac{(4\pi)^k}{(k-1)!} D^{\frac{k}{2}} P_{k+\frac{1}{2},-D,\frac{k}{2}+\frac{1}{4}}. \tag{4.3}$$

Theorem 4.1. *For every discriminant $-D<0$, we have*

$$\Phi_k(g_{k,-D}) = f_{k,-D}. \tag{4.4}$$

Remark. The theta lift in Theorem 4.1 is a special case of a more general theta lift investigated by Borcherds in Theorem 14.3 of [6]. We also choose a Poincaré series as a distinguished input, while Borcherds had a general input. Moreover, Borcherds unfolded against the theta function, while we apply the unfolding method to the Poincaré series. As a result, the two approaches yield different representations of the functions $f_{k,-D}$.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. A standard unfolding argument [44] gives that $\Phi_k(P_{k+\frac{1}{2},-D,\frac{k}{2}+\frac{1}{4}})$ equals

$$\lim_{T\to\infty}\left(\int_0^T\int_0^1 e^{-2\pi i D\tau}\overline{\Theta_k(z,\tau)} v^{k+\frac{1}{2}}\frac{dudv}{v^2} - \sum_{c\geq 1}\sum_{a \pmod{c}^*}\int_{S_{\frac{a}{c}}} e^{-2\pi i D\tau}\overline{\Theta_k(z,\tau)} v^{k+\frac{1}{2}}\frac{dudv}{v^2}\right), \tag{4.5}$$

where a runs over $a \pmod c$ which are coprime to c and $S_{a/c}$ are the discs of radius $(2c^2T)^{-1}$ tangent to the real axis at a/c. The polynomial growth of $\tau \mapsto \Theta_k(z,\tau)$ towards the cusps, yields that the second term does not contribute. To evaluate the integral in the first term of (4.5), we plug in definition (4.1) and integrate over u, to obtain, as $T\to\infty$,

$$y^{-2k}\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{Q}_{-D}} Q(\overline{z},1)^k \int_0^\infty e^{4\pi D v - 4\pi Q_z^2 v} v^{k-1} dv.$$

The claim now easily follows using (2.3) to show that the integral on v equals

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{4\pi|Q(z,1)|^2 v}{y^2}} v^{k-1} dv = (k-1)!\left(\frac{y^2}{4\pi}\right)^k |Q(z,1)|^{-2k}.$$

□

4.2. **A further theta lift.** Here we compute theta lifts with the closely-related theta kernel

$$\Theta^*_{1-k}(z,\tau) := v^k \sum_{D\in\mathbb{Z}} \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{Q}_D} Q_z Q(z,1)^{k-1} e^{-\frac{4\pi|Q(z,1)|^2 v}{y^2}} e^{-2\pi i D\tau}. \tag{4.6}$$

The function $\tau \mapsto \Theta^*_{1-k}(z,\tau)$ is modular of weight $3/2-k$ (see Theorem 4.1 of [6] for a general treatment and Proposition 3.2 (2) of [10] for this specific case), decays exponentially towards $i\infty$, and grows at most polynomially towards the other cusps; growth conditions follow from an argument similar to Lemma 4.1 of [11]. Moreover, $z \mapsto \Theta^*_{1-k}(z,\tau)$ is modular of weight $2-2k$. For a function H transforming of weight $3/2-k$, we then define the theta lift

$$\Phi^*_{1-k}(H)(z) := \left\langle H, \Theta^*_{1-k}\left(-\overline{z},\cdot\right)\right\rangle.$$

The goal of this section is to compute the image of the Maass–Poincaré series under Φ^*_k and to connect these to the $\mathcal{F}_{n,Q}$. Setting $c_{k,D}(s) := 6D^{-s}(4\pi)^{\frac{k}{2}-\frac{1}{4}}\Gamma(s+\frac{k}{2}-\frac{1}{4})^{-1}$ and

$$\mathcal{P}_{n-k,n,-D} := c_{k-n+1,D}\left(\frac{k}{2}+\frac{1}{4}\right) P_{n+\frac{1}{2}-k,-D,\frac{k}{2}+\frac{1}{4}}, \tag{4.7}$$

we have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.2. *For $-D<0$ a discriminant, $k\in\mathbb{N}_{>1}$, $s\in\mathbb{C}$ and $\sigma>1$, we have*

$$\Phi^*_{1-k}\left(P_{\frac{3}{2}-k,-D,s}\right)(z) = \frac{1}{c_{k,D}(s)} \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{Q}_{-D}} Q_z^{\frac{3}{2}-k-2s} Q(z,1)^{k-1} {}_2F_1\left(s+\frac{k}{2}-\frac{1}{4}, s-\frac{3}{4}+\frac{k}{2}; 2s; \frac{D}{Q_z^2}\right). \tag{4.8}$$

In particular, for $n\in\mathbb{N}_{<k}$, we have

$$\Phi^*_{n-k}\left(\mathcal{P}_{n-k,n,-D}\right) = \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{Q}_{-D}/\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})} \mathcal{F}_{n,Q}.$$

Remarks.

(1) Due to the shift $k\mapsto k+1-n$, the restriction $n\in\mathbb{N}_{\le k-1}$ corresponds to those choices for which the inputs (and outputs) of the theta lift have negative weights.

(2) Theorem 4.2 for $n=1$ may also be written in the shape (using Theorem 1.1 below)

$$\Phi^*_{1-k}\left(\mathcal{P}_{1-k,1,-D}\right) = (2k-1) \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{Q}_{-D}/\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})} \mathcal{G}_Q. \tag{4.9}$$

(3) After seeing a preliminary version of this paper, Zemel [45] has obtained a vector-valued version of $\mathcal{F}_{2k-1}$ as a theta lift using a variant of the positive-weight theta lift Φ_k. His construction also relates $\mathcal{F}_{2k-1}$ to $\mathcal{G}_Q$, allowing one to obtain a vector-valued version of Theorem 5.1.

Proof of Theorem 4.2. One can show that both sides of (4.8) converge absolutely and locally uniformly for $\sigma>1$ and $[z]\neq[\tau_Q]$ and hence are analytic in s. It thus suffices to show (4.8) for $\sigma > k-3/2$. Following the proof of Theorem 4.1, we obtain

$$\Phi^*_{1-k}\left(P_{\frac{3}{2}-k,-D,s}\right)(z) = \frac{(4\pi D)^{\frac{1}{4}-\frac{k}{2}}}{6} \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{Q}_{-D}} Q_z Q(z,1)^{k-1} \mathcal{I}_s\left(\frac{Dy^2}{|Q(z,1)|^2}\right), \text{ where} \tag{4.10}$$

$$\mathcal{I}_s(w) := \int_0^\infty \mathcal{M}_{\frac{3}{2}-k,s}(v)\, v^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{v}{2}} e^{-\frac{v}{w}} dv \quad (w>0).$$

Applying formula 7.621.1. of [25] yields

$$\mathcal{I}_s(w) = \Gamma\left(s+\frac{k}{2}-\frac{1}{4}\right)\left(\frac{w}{w+1}\right)^{\frac{k}{2}-\frac{1}{4}+s} {}_2F_1\left(s+\frac{k}{2}-\frac{1}{4}, s+\frac{k}{2}-\frac{3}{4}; 2s; \frac{w}{w+1}\right).$$

Equation (4.8) then follows directly by plugging back into (4.10) and using (2.3) to prove that for $w = \frac{Dy^2}{|Q(z,1)|^2}$ we have $\frac{w}{w+1} = \frac{D}{Q_z^2}$.

Letting $k \mapsto k+1-n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}_{<k}$ and then setting $s = k/2 + 1/4$ yields the theta lift for $\mathcal{P}_{n-k,n,-D}$. Note here that the restriction $n < k$ is equivalent to $k+1-n > 1$, which is the necessary condition on the weight for (4.8) to be valid. □

5. Properties of the functions $\mathcal{G}_Q$

5.1. **Differential operators.** In this section, we prove that the functions $\mathcal{G}_Q$ are polar harmonic Maass forms and compute their images under ξ_{2-2k} and $\mathcal{D}^{2k-1}$.

Theorem 5.1. *The functions $\mathcal{G}_Q$ are weight $2-2k$ polar harmonic Maass forms whose only singularities in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})\backslash\mathbb{H}$ occur at τ_Q. Furthermore, we have*

$$\xi_{2-2k}\left(\mathcal{G}_Q\right) = f_Q, \qquad \mathcal{D}^{2k-1}\left(\mathcal{G}_Q\right) = -\frac{(2k-2)!}{(4\pi)^{2k-1}} f_Q. \tag{5.1}$$

Remarks.

(1) The non-holomorphic part of the Fourier expansion of $\mathcal{G}_Q$ is annihilated by $\mathcal{D}^{2k-1}$ (see Theorem 1.1 of [17]). However, if one directly differentiates the meromorphic part of the elliptic expansion around τ_Q, then the pole order is at least $2k$ since differentiation raises the order. Thus (5.1) implies that the non-meromorphic part of the elliptic expansion cannot be annihilated by $\mathcal{D}^{2k-1}$ because the pole order is too small.

(2) It is unusual for a harmonic Maass form to map to a constant multiple of the same function under ξ_{2-2k} and $\mathcal{D}^{2k-1}$. For example, if the image under the ξ-operator is a cusp form, then this cannot happen. However, *locally harmonic Maass forms* have a similar property. Such forms are modular and annihilated by the hyperbolic Laplacian away from an exceptional set of measure zero along which they exhibit discontinuities. For a fixed class $[Q]$ of quadratic forms of discriminant $\delta \in \mathbb{N}$, these functions have the form (see (3.2) and (3.8) of [9])

$$\mathcal{F}_Q(z) = \mathcal{F}_{1-k,\delta,[Q]}(z) := \sum_{\mathcal{Q}\in[Q]} \operatorname{sgn}\left(\mathcal{Q}_z\right) \mathcal{Q}\left(z,1\right)^{k-1} \int_0^{\arctan\left|\frac{\sqrt{\delta}}{\mathcal{Q}_z}\right|} \sin^{2k-2}(\theta) d\theta,$$

which closely resemble (1.2). For $k=1$, a variant of these was investigated by Hövel [30] and for $k>1$ they were explored in [9]. They also appeared as theta lifts in both physics (or rather their higher-dimensional analogues occur) via work of Angelantonj, Florakis, and Pioline [2] and in mathematics from work of Viazovska and the first two authors [10].

(3) The weight $2-2k$ harmonic Eisenstein series satisfies identities resembling (5.1), with f_Q replaced with the usual weight $2k$ Eisenstein series. Hence the functions $\mathcal{G}_Q$ may be viewed as "polar harmonic Eisenstein series".

Proof of Theorem 5.1. One obtains the first identity in (5.1) by acting termwise with ξ_{2-2k} on (1.2) and (2.7) then immediately implies that $\mathcal{G}_Q$ is harmonic.

To show modularity of $\mathcal{G}_Q$, we rewrite it as a Poincaré series. We first convert f_Q into a Poincaré series, following the argument in Proposition 9 of [32]. With $A := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2v_Q}} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & -\tau_Q \\ -i & i\overline{\tau_Q} \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$,

$$f_Q(z) = \frac{i^{-k}}{2} \sum_{M\in\Gamma_Q\backslash\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})} z^{-k}\Big|_{2k} AM, \qquad \text{using} \qquad \frac{D^{\frac{k}{2}}}{(Q\circ M)(z,1)^k} = i^{-k} z^{-k}\Big|_{2k} AM \tag{5.2}$$

which follows from

$$Az = iX_{\tau_Q}(z), \qquad (Q\circ M)(z,1) = Q(z,1)\big|_{-2} M, \tag{5.3}$$

together with (2.2) and (2.1). Here $Q \circ M$ denotes the action of $M \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ on Q.

Motivated by this representation, we also express $\mathcal{G}_Q$ as a Poincaré series, using an analogue of the function $\widehat{\varphi}$ defined in (3.9) of [9], namely

$$g_{1-k}(z) := z^{k-1} \int_0^{\operatorname{artanh}\left(\frac{1-|z|^2}{1+|z|^2}\right)} \sinh^{2k-2}(\theta) d\theta.$$

By an elementary calculation, using (2.1), one obtains

$$1 - r_{\tau_Q}^2(z) = \frac{2y\sqrt{D}}{a\,|z - \overline{\tau_Q}|^2}, \qquad 1 + r_{\tau_Q}^2(z) = \frac{2yQ_z}{a\,|z - \overline{\tau_Q}|^2}. \tag{5.4}$$

Combining this with (5.3) and (5.2) yields that

$$i^{k-1} D^{\frac{1-k}{2}} Q(z,1)^{k-1} \int_0^{\operatorname{artanh}\left(\frac{\sqrt{D}}{Q_z}\right)} \sinh^{2k-2}(\theta) d\theta = g_{1-k}(z)\Big|_{2-2k} A. \tag{5.5}$$

Plugging this into (1.2), we obtain

$$\mathcal{G}_Q(z) = \frac{i^{k-1}}{2} \sum_{M \in \Gamma_Q \backslash \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})} g_{1-k}(z)\Big|_{2-2k} AM, \tag{5.6}$$

which proves the weight $2-2k$ modularity of $\mathcal{G}_Q$, once we show that this series converges absolutely and locally uniformly away from the poles. This however follows as in the proof of Proposition 4.1 in [9], replacing (4.5) of [9] with the bound

$$\int_0^{\operatorname{artanh}(r)} \sinh^{2k-2}(\theta) d\theta \ll r^{2k-1}.$$

We are left to show the second identity in (5.1). By (5.6), (5.2), and the fact that $\mathcal{D}^{2k-1}$ commutes with the slash-operator, the desired identity is equivalent to

$$\mathcal{D}^{2k-1}\left(g_{1-k}(z)\right) = -\frac{(2k-2)!}{(4\pi i)^{2k-1}} z^{-k}. \tag{5.7}$$

A direct calculation proves that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left(\int_0^{\operatorname{artanh}\left(\frac{1-|z|^2}{1+|z|^2}\right)} \sinh^{2k-2}(\theta) d\theta\right) = -\frac{\left(|z|^2-1\right)^{2k-2}}{4^{k-\frac{1}{2}} z^k \overline{z}^{k-1}}.$$

Inductively computing the nth derivative ($1 \le n \le 2k-1$) of g_{1-k}, as in the proof of Proposition 6.1 of [9], one obtains that

$$\mathcal{D}^{2k-1}\left(g_{1-k}\right) = (2\pi i)^{1-2k} \mathcal{R}_{2k-1} \qquad \text{with} \tag{5.8}$$

$$\mathcal{R}_{2k-1}(z) := \frac{(2k-2)!}{4^{k-\frac{1}{2}}} \sum_{\ell=0}^{2k-2} \frac{\left(1-|z|^2\right)^{2k-2-\ell}}{z^{2k-1-\ell}\overline{z}^{k-1-\ell}} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \binom{2k-1-j}{\ell} (-1)^j \binom{k-1}{j-1}.$$

By (5.1), (5.5), and since ξ_{2-2k} commutes with the slash operator, g_{1-k} is harmonic and hence $\mathcal{D}^{2k-1}\left(g_{1-k}\right)$ is meromorphic. We now use the Binomial Theorem to expand $(1-|z|^2)^{2k-2-\ell}$ and

note that since $\mathcal{R}_{2k-1}$ is meromorphic, only the term that cancels $\overline{z}^{-k+1+\ell}$ survives. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{R}_{2k-1}(z) &= \frac{(2k-2)!}{4^{k-\frac{1}{2}}z^k}\sum_{\ell=0}^{2k-2}(-1)^{k-\ell}\binom{2k-2-\ell}{k-1}\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\binom{2k-2-j}{\ell}(-1)^j\binom{k-1}{j}\\ &= \frac{(2k-2)!}{4^{k-\frac{1}{2}}z^k}\sum_{\ell=0}^{2k-2}(-1)^{k-\ell}\binom{2k-2-\ell}{k-1}\binom{k-1}{\ell+1-k} = -\frac{(2k-2)!}{4^{k-\frac{1}{2}}z^k},\end{aligned}$$

where we evaluate the sum over j as $\binom{k-1}{\ell+1-k}$ by comparing the generating series in ℓ, and note that the product of binomial coefficients is zero unless $\ell = k-1$. Plugging back into (5.8) yields (5.7). □

5.2. **Principal part of $\mathcal{G}_Q$.** In order to describe the growth of $\mathcal{G}_Q$ as z approaches τ_Q, recall the definition (2.8) of β_0.

Lemma 5.2. *For any $\varrho \in \mathbb{H}$, there exist $a^{\pm}_{Q,\varrho}(n) \in \mathbb{C}$ so that, for $r_\varrho(z) \ll_\varrho 1$,*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{G}_Q(z) = (z-\overline{\varrho})^{2k-2}\Bigg(&2^{2-3k}\delta_{[\varrho]=[\tau_Q]}\eta^{1-k}\beta_0\left(1-r_\varrho^2(z);2k-1,1-k\right)X_\varrho^{k-1}(z)\\ &+\sum_{n\geq 0}a^+_{Q,\varrho}(n)X^n_\varrho(z)+\sum_{n<0}a^-_{Q,\varrho}(n)\beta_0\left(1-r_\varrho^2(z);2k-1,-n\right)X^n_\varrho(z)\Bigg).\end{aligned}\tag{5.9}$$

Proof. Since $\mathcal{G}_Q$ is a polar harmonic Maass form, by Theorem 5.1, it has an elliptic expansion of the shape (2.9) around ϱ. We only need to determine the contribution to the principal parts. Note that, for $0 < r < 1$, the integral $\int_0^{\operatorname{artanh}(r)} \sinh^{2k-2}(\theta)d\theta$ is finite, while $0 < \sqrt{D}/|\mathcal{Q}_z| \leq 1$ follows from (2.3), with equality if and only if $\mathcal{Q}(z,1) = 0$. Hence a singularity may only occur at $z = \varrho$ if ϱ satisfies $\mathcal{Q}(\varrho,1) = 0$ for some $\mathcal{Q} \in [Q]$, or equivalently $\varrho = \tau_{\mathcal{Q}}$. For $\varrho = \tau_{\mathcal{Q}}$, it remains to determine which terms in (1.2) contribute to the singularity at $\tau_{\mathcal{Q}}$ and to evaluate the corresponding principal part. Poles only occur for $\mathcal{R} \in [Q]$ with $\tau_{\mathcal{R}} = \tau_{\mathcal{Q}}$, and since $\mathcal{Q}$ is completely determined by $\tau_{\mathcal{Q}}$ and D, only one term contributes to the principal part, namely from $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{Q}$. Since only the first term on the right-hand side of (5.9) contributes to the principal part, it hence suffices to show

$$\begin{aligned}D^{\frac{1-k}{2}}\mathcal{Q}(z,1)^{k-1}\int_0^{\operatorname{artanh}\left(\frac{\sqrt{D}}{\mathcal{Q}_z}\right)}&\sinh^{2k-2}(\theta)d\theta\\ &= 2^{2-3k}v_{\mathcal{Q}}^{1-k}\left(z-\overline{\tau_{\mathcal{Q}}}\right)^{2k-2}\beta\left(1-r^2_{\tau_{\mathcal{Q}}}(z);2k-1,1-k\right)X^{k-1}_{\tau_{\mathcal{Q}}}(z).\end{aligned}\tag{5.10}$$

Plugging in (2.2) and using (5.4) and the integral representation of the beta function, the claim easily follows once we establish that for $0 < r \leq 1$,

$$\int_0^{\operatorname{artanh}\left(\frac{1-r^2}{1+r^2}\right)}\sinh^{2k-2}(\theta)d\theta = 2^{1-2k}\int_0^{1-r^2}t^{2k-2}(1-t)^{-k}dt.\tag{5.11}$$

This may however be seen easily by differentiating with respect to $Y := 1-r^2$, which yields that the two sides of (5.11) differ by a constant; taking $Y \to 0$ then gives equality.

□

6. Generalizations of $\mathcal{F}_{n,Q}$

In this section, we consider τ_Q around which we take the elliptic expansions to also be a variable, yielding two-variable functions. We then investigate properties with respect to this second variable. More precisely, we realize the functions $\mathcal{F}_{n,Q}$ as evaluations of the two-variable function

$$\mathcal{F}_n(z,\mathfrak{z}) := \sum_{M\in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{z}}} f_n(z, M\mathfrak{z}), \qquad \text{where} \tag{6.1}$$

$$f_n(z,\mathfrak{z}) := \frac{(\overline{z}-\mathfrak{z})^{2n-2k}}{2\cosh^n\left(d\left(z,\mathfrak{z}\right)\right)}\left(\frac{\overline{X_{\mathfrak{z}}(z)}}{2y^2\mathfrak{z}_2}\right)^{n-k} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{n}{2},\frac{n+1}{2};k+\frac{1}{2};\frac{1}{\cosh^2\left(d\left(z,\mathfrak{z}\right)\right)}\right). \tag{6.2}$$

By construction $\mathfrak{z}\mapsto \mathcal{F}_n(z,\mathfrak{z})$ is $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$-invariant. It turns out that $z\mapsto \mathcal{F}_n(z,\mathfrak{z})$ is also modular of weight $2n-2k$, and we next show that it coincides at $\mathfrak{z}=\tau_Q$ with $\mathcal{F}_{n,Q}(z)$.

Lemma 6.1. *We have*

$$\mathcal{F}_{n,Q}(z) = \mathcal{F}_n\left(z,\tau_Q\right).$$

Proof. We first apply the Euler transformation,

$${}_2F_1(a,b;c;Y) = (1-Y)^{c-a-b}{}_2F_1(c-a,c-b;c;Y), \tag{6.3}$$

and (2.3) to obtain

$$\mathcal{F}_{n,Q}(z) = y^{2k-2n}D^{\frac{k}{2}}\sum_{\mathcal{Q}\in[Q]}\mathcal{Q}_z^{-n}\mathcal{Q}\left(\overline{z},1\right)^{n-k}{}_2F_1\left(\frac{n}{2},\frac{n+1}{2};k+\frac{1}{2};\frac{D}{\mathcal{Q}_z^2}\right).$$

Using (2.5), gives

$$\mathcal{F}_{n,Q}(z) = y^{2k-2n}D^{\frac{k-n}{2}}\sum_{\mathcal{Q}\in[Q]}\frac{\mathcal{Q}\left(\overline{z},1\right)^{n-k}}{\cosh^n\left(d\left(z,\tau_{\mathcal{Q}}\right)\right)}{}_2F_1\left(\frac{n}{2},\frac{n+1}{2};k+\frac{1}{2};\frac{1}{\cosh^2\left(d\left(z,\tau_{\mathcal{Q}}\right)\right)}\right).$$

Noting that

$$\tau_{Q\circ M} = M^{-1}\tau_Q \tag{6.4}$$

and plugging in (2.2) and (6.2), we then obtain the claim by

$$\mathcal{F}_{n,Q}(z) = 2\sum_{\mathcal{Q}\in[Q]} f_n\left(z,\tau_{\mathcal{Q}}\right) = \sum_{M\in\Gamma_Q\backslash\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})} f_n\left(z,\tau_{Q\circ M}\right) = \sum_{M\in\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})/\Gamma_{\tau_Q}} f_n\left(z,M\tau_Q\right).$$

□

We next use identities from the proof of Lemma 6.1 to show that $\mathcal{G}_Q$ has a two-variable analogue

$$\mathcal{G}_1(z,\mathfrak{z}) := \sum_{M\in\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{z}}} g(z,M\mathfrak{z}) \qquad \text{with}$$

$$g(z,\mathfrak{z}) := \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{(z-\mathfrak{z})(z-\overline{\mathfrak{z}})}{2\mathfrak{z}_2}\right)^{k-1}\int_0^{\operatorname{arsinh}\left(\frac{1}{\sinh(d(z,\mathfrak{z}))}\right)}\sinh^{2k-2}(\theta)d\theta.$$

Lemma 6.2. *We have*

$$\mathcal{G}_Q(z) = \mathcal{G}_1\left(z,\tau_Q\right).$$

Proof. We plug in (2.5) and (2.2) to (1.2) and then use (6.4) to obtain

$$\mathcal{G}_Q(z) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{M\in\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})/\Gamma_{\tau_Q}}\left(\frac{(z-M\tau_Q)\left(z-\overline{M\tau_Q}\right)}{2\mathrm{Im}\left(M\tau_Q\right)}\right)^{k-1}\int_0^{\operatorname{artanh}\left(\frac{1}{\cosh\left(d\left(z,M\tau_Q\right)\right)}\right)}\sinh^{2k-2}(\theta)d\theta.$$

The claim then follows from the identity (for $r > 0$)

$$\operatorname{artanh}\left(\cosh(r)^{-1}\right) = \operatorname{arsinh}\left(\sinh(r)^{-1}\right). \tag{6.5}$$

□

We require further properties of $\mathcal{G}_1$; we skip the proof here, but note that the principal part in Lemma 6.3 (2) follows by rewriting the integral in $g(z, \mathfrak{z})$ using (2.4), (6.5), and (5.11).

Lemma 6.3.

(1) *The function $z \mapsto \mathcal{G}_1(z, \mathfrak{z})$ converges locally uniformly for $[z] \neq [\mathfrak{z}]$ and, as $y \to \infty$, it grows at most polynomially.*

(2) *For every $\mathfrak{z}, \varrho \in \mathbb{H}$ and $r_\varrho(z) \ll_{\mathfrak{z},\varrho} 1$, there exist $a^{\pm}_{\mathfrak{z},\varrho}(n)$ such that*

$$\mathcal{G}_1(z, \mathfrak{z}) = (z - \overline{\varrho})^{2k-2} \left(\frac{\delta_{[\varrho]=[\mathfrak{z}]}}{2^{3k-2}\eta^{k-1}} \beta_0\left(1 - r_\varrho^2(z); 2k-1, 1-k\right) X_\varrho^{k-1}(z) \right.$$
$$\left. + \sum_{n \geq 0} a^+_{\mathfrak{z},\varrho}(n) X^n_\varrho(z) + \sum_{n<0} a^-_{\mathfrak{z},\varrho}(n) \beta_0\left(1 - r_\varrho^2(z); 2k-1, -n\right) X^n_\varrho(z) \right).$$

7. The inner product as elliptic coefficients

7.1. **A first evaluation of the inner product.** In this section, we rewrite the inner product $\langle f, f_Q \rangle$ in terms of the elliptic coefficients (1.4) of f and (5.9) of $\mathcal{G}_Q$ and also compute the inner product between two of the elliptic Poincaré series $\Psi_{2k,n}$, defined in (2.11).

Theorem 7.1.

(1) *If $f \in \mathbb{S}_{2k}$ has its poles at $[z_1], \ldots, [z_r]$ in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})\backslash\mathbb{H}$, then*

$$\langle f, f_Q \rangle = \pi \sum_{\ell=1}^{r} \frac{1}{y_\ell \omega_{z_\ell}} \sum_{n \geq 1} a_f(z_\ell; -n) a^+_{Q,z_\ell}(n-1).$$

(2) *For $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\varrho, \mathfrak{z} \in \mathbb{H}$ with $m \equiv -k \pmod{\omega_\varrho}$, we have*

$$\langle \Psi_{2k,m}(\cdot, \varrho), \Psi_{2k,-k}(\cdot, \mathfrak{z}) \rangle = \frac{\pi \omega_{\mathfrak{z}}}{2^{k-2} \mathfrak{z}_2^k \eta} a^+_{\mathfrak{z},\varrho}(-m-1).$$

Proof. (1) Since the functions $\{\Psi_{2k,m}(\cdot, \varrho) : \varrho \in \mathbb{H},\ m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ span $\mathbb{S}_{2k}$, by linearity we may assume that $f(z) = (2\omega_\varrho)^{-1} \Psi_{2k,m}(z, \varrho)$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\varrho \in \mathbb{H}$. We use a trick employed by many authors (cf. [6, 15, 22]) for rewriting the inner product. By (5.1) and Lemma 6.2, we obtain

$$\langle f, f_Q \rangle = \langle f, \xi_{2-2k}(\mathcal{G}_Q) \rangle = \langle f, \xi_{2-2k}(\mathcal{G}_1(\cdot, \tau_Q)) \rangle. \tag{7.1}$$

We next compute the right-hand side of (7.1) with τ_Q replaced with a general $\mathfrak{z}$ and later specialize to $\mathfrak{z} = \tau_Q$. We take the implied integral in (7.1) over the cut-off fundamental domain $\mathcal{F}_T^*$, consisting of those $z \in \mathcal{F}^*$ for which z is equivalent to a point in $\mathcal{F}_T$ under the action of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, and then let $T \to \infty$. We require a few additional properties of $\mathcal{F}^*$. Firstly, we may assume, without loss of generality, that $\varrho, \mathfrak{z} \in \mathcal{F}^*$. We also claim that since there are no poles of f or f_Q for $y \gg 0$, $\mathcal{F}^*$ may be constructed so that for $T \gg 0$, the boundary of $\mathcal{F}_T^*$ includes the line from $-1/2 + iT$ to $1/2 + iT$. Indeed one can explicitly build $\mathcal{F}^*$ from the standard fundamental domain $\mathcal{F}$ by successively removing partial balls $\mathcal{B}_\delta(z_\ell) \cap \mathcal{F}$ around each pole $z_\ell \in \partial\mathcal{F}$ and moving them to the other side (with respect to the imaginary axis) of the fundamental domain to combine with other partial balls around equivalent points $Mz_\ell \in \partial\mathcal{F}$ to form entire balls $\mathcal{B}_\delta(Mz_\ell)$ for some $M \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. Since the part of the fundamental domain with $y \gg 0$ remains unchanged, the boundary of $\mathcal{F}_T^*$ is as desired. Moreover, we may choose $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small such that $\mathcal{B}_\delta(\varrho)$ is contained inside

$\Gamma_\varrho\mathcal{F}^*$. By Stokes Theorem, using the meromorphicity of f and the vanishing of $f(z)h_{s,\varrho}(z)$ at $z=\varrho$ for $\sigma \gg 0$, (7.1) is the evaluation at $\mathfrak{z}=\tau_Q$ of

$$-\operatorname{CT}_{s=0}\left(\int_{\mathcal{F}^*} f(z)\overline{\xi_{2k}\left(h_{s_1,\varrho}(z)h_{s_2,\mathfrak{z}}(z)\right)}\mathcal{G}_1(z,\mathfrak{z})y^{2-2k}\frac{dxdy}{y^2} + \lim_{T\to\infty}\int_{\partial\mathcal{F}_T^*} f(z)h_{s_1,\varrho}(z)h_{s_2,\mathfrak{z}}(z)\mathcal{G}_1(z,\mathfrak{z})dz\right). \quad (7.2)$$

Due to modularity, the exponential decay of f towards $i\infty$, and the polynomial growth of the other factors (using Lemma 6.3 (1)), the second term vanishes. Using the invariance of the integrand under the action of $\Gamma_\mathfrak{z}$ and Γ_ϱ, we then rewrite (7.2) as

$$-\frac{1}{\omega_\mathfrak{z}\omega_\varrho}\operatorname{CT}_{s=0}\left(\sum_{M_1\in\Gamma_\varrho}\sum_{M_2\in\Gamma_\mathfrak{z}}\int_{M_1M_2\mathcal{F}^*} f(z)\overline{\xi_{2k}\left(h_{s_1,\varrho}(z)h_{s_2,\mathfrak{z}}(z)\right)}\mathcal{G}_1(z,\mathfrak{z})y^{2-2k}\frac{dxdy}{y^2}\right). \quad (7.3)$$

Precisely one element of $\Gamma_{z_0}\mathcal{F}^*$ is equivalent to z_0 for every $z_0\in\mathcal{F}^*$ and $r_{z_0}(Mz)=r_{z_0}(z)$ for every $M\in\Gamma_{z_0}$ by (3.7). Hence, for every $z\in\Gamma_{z_0}\mathcal{F}^*$, we have that $h_{s_j,z_0}(z)=r_{z_0}^{2s_j}(z)$. Therefore, for $(z_0,s_0)\in\{(\varrho,s_1),(\mathfrak{z},s_2)\}$, we may compute

$$\overline{\xi_{2k}\left(h_{s_0,z_0}(z)\right)} = -4s_0y^{2k}y_0r_{z_0}^{2s_0-2}(z)\frac{X_{z_0}(z)}{\left(\overline{z}-z_0\right)^2}. \quad (7.4)$$

Thus $1/(s_1s_2)$ times the first integral in (7.2) restricted to $z\notin\mathcal{B}_\delta(\varrho)\cup\mathcal{B}_\delta(\mathfrak{z})$ converges absolutely and locally uniformly in s, and hence the corresponding contribution to the integral is analytic and vanishes at $s=0$. To evaluate the remaining part of (7.3), we first compute $\xi_{2k}(h_{s_1,\varrho}(z)h_{s_2,\mathfrak{z}})$ for $z\in\mathcal{B}_\delta(\varrho)\cap\mathcal{F}^*$ using the product rule. The term coming from differentiating $h_{s_2,\mathfrak{z}}$ vanishes by (7.4). We then use (3.6) and (7.3) to show that the first integral in (7.2) over $\mathcal{B}_\delta(\varrho)\cap\mathcal{F}^*$ equals

$$-\frac{1}{\omega_\varrho}\operatorname{CT}_{s_1=0}\left(\int_{\mathcal{B}_\delta(\varrho)} f(z)\overline{\xi_{2k,z}\left(h_{s_1,\varrho}(z)\right)}\mathcal{G}_1(z,\mathfrak{z})y^{2-2k}\frac{dxdy}{y^2}\right).$$

Plugging in (7.4) and repeating the argument for $\mathfrak{z}$ if $\mathfrak{z}\neq\varrho$, (7.2) becomes

$$\frac{4\mathfrak{z}_2}{\omega_\mathfrak{z}}\mathcal{J}(\mathfrak{z})+\delta_{[\varrho]\neq[\mathfrak{z}]}\frac{4\eta}{\omega_\varrho}\mathcal{J}(\varrho), \quad \text{where} \quad (7.5)$$

$$\mathcal{J}(z_0):=\operatorname{CT}_{s_0=0}\left(s_0\int_{\mathcal{B}_\delta(z_0)} f(z)r_{z_0}^{2s_0-2}(z)\frac{X_{z_0}(z)}{\left(\overline{z}-z_0\right)^2}\mathcal{G}_1(z,\mathfrak{z})dxdy\right). \quad (7.6)$$

To evaluate $\mathcal{J}(z_0)$, we insert the elliptic expansion (2.12) of f around z_0 and the expansion of $\mathcal{G}_1$ from Lemma 6.3 (2), to see that the integral in (7.6) equals

$$\frac{1}{y_0^2}\int_{\mathcal{B}_\delta(\varrho)}\frac{y_0^2}{|z-\overline{z_0}|^4}\left(\delta_{[\varrho]=[z_0]}X_\varrho^m(z)+\sum_{n\geq 0}a(n)X_{z_0}^n(z)\right)r_{z_0}^{2s_0-2}(z)X_{z_0}(z)$$
$$\times\left(2^{2-3k}\mathfrak{z}_2^{1-k}\delta_{[\mathfrak{z}]=[z_0]}\beta_0\left(1-r_\mathfrak{z}^2(z);2k-1,1-k\right)X_\mathfrak{z}^{k-1}(z)+\sum_{\ell\geq 0}a_{\mathfrak{z},z_0}^+(\ell)X_{z_0}^\ell(z)\right.$$
$$\left.+\sum_{\ell<0}a_{\mathfrak{z},z_0}^-(\ell)\beta_0\left(1-r_{z_0}^2(z);2k-1,-\ell\right)X_{z_0}^\ell(z)\right)dxdy. \quad (7.7)$$

Making the change of variables $X_{z_0}(z) = Re^{i\theta}$ and noting that $\frac{y_0^2}{|z-\overline{z_0}|^4}dxdy = \frac{R}{4}d\theta dR$ and $r_{z_0}(z) = R$, we may then rewrite (7.7) as

$$\frac{1}{4y_0^2}\int_0^\delta\int_0^{2\pi}\left(\delta_{[\varrho]=[z_0]}R^m e^{im\theta}+\sum_{n\geq 0}a(n)R^n e^{in\theta}\right)\left(\delta_{[\mathfrak{z}]=[z_0]}\frac{R^{k-1+2s_0}e^{ik\theta}}{2^{3k-2}\mathfrak{z}_2^{k-1}}\beta_0\left(1-R^2;2k-1,1-k\right)\right.$$
$$\left.+\sum_{\ell\geq 0}a^+_{\mathfrak{z},z_0}(\ell)\,R^{\ell+2s_0}e^{i(\ell+1)\theta}+\sum_{\ell<0}a^-_{\mathfrak{z},z_0}(\ell)\,\beta_0\left(1-R^2;2k-1,-\ell\right)R^{\ell+2s_0}e^{i(\ell+1)\theta}\right)d\theta dR.$$

Expanding, the integral over θ vanishes unless the power of $e^{i\theta}$ is zero. The integral thus equals

$$\frac{\pi}{2y_0^2}\int_0^\delta\left(\frac{\delta_{m=-k}\delta_{[\varrho]=[\mathfrak{z}]=[z_0]}}{2^{3k-2}\mathfrak{z}_2^{k-1}}\beta_0\left(1-R^2;2k-1,1-k\right)+\delta_{[\varrho]=[z_0]}a^+_{\mathfrak{z},z_0}(-m-1)\right.$$
$$\left.+\sum_{n\geq 0}\left(a(n)+\delta_{n=m}\delta_{[\varrho]=[z_0]}\right)a^-_{\mathfrak{z},z_0}(-n-1)\,\beta_0\left(1-R^2;2k-1,n+1\right)\right)R^{2s_0-1}dR. \quad (7.8)$$

To determine the residue of (7.8) at $s_0=0$, we use that for $\ell\in\mathbb{Z}$, $\beta_0(1-R^2;2k-1,-\ell)$ equals

$$\sum_{\substack{0\leq j\leq 2k-2\\ j\neq\ell}}\binom{2k-2}{j}\frac{(-1)^{j+1}}{j-\ell}R^{2(j-\ell)}+\delta_{0\leq\ell\leq 2k-2}\binom{2k-2}{\ell}(-1)^{\ell+1}\log\left(R^2\right).$$

For $\sigma_0\gg 0$, multiplying the first term by R^{2s_0-1} and integrating then yields

$$\sum_{\substack{0\leq j\leq 2k-2\\ j\neq\ell}}\binom{2k-2}{j}\frac{(-1)^{j+1}}{j-\ell}\int_0^\delta R^{2(j-\ell+s_0)-1}dR=\sum_{\substack{0\leq j\leq 2k-2\\ j\neq\ell}}\binom{2k-2}{j}\frac{(-1)^{j+1}\delta^{2(j-\ell+s_0)}}{2(j-\ell)(j-\ell+s_0)},$$

which is holomorphic at $s_0=0$, so the corresponding terms give no residue in (7.8). Hence

$$\mathcal{J}(z_0)=\frac{\pi\delta_{[\varrho]=[z_0]}}{2y_0^2}\,\mathrm{CT}_{s_0=0}\left(-s_0\frac{\delta_{m=-k}\delta_{[\varrho]=[\mathfrak{z}]}}{(-8\mathfrak{z}_2)^{k-1}}\binom{2k-2}{k-1}\int_0^\delta\log(R)R^{2s_0-1}dR+a^+_{\mathfrak{z},z_0}(-m-1)\,\frac{\delta^{2s_0}}{2}\right). \quad (7.9)$$

Using integration by parts, we obtain a meromorphic continuation with no constant term, as

$$s_0\int_0^\delta\log(R)\,R^{2s_0-1}dR=\frac{\delta^{2s_0}}{2}\log(\delta)-\frac{1}{4s_0}\delta^{2s_0}=-\frac{1}{4s_0}+O(s_0).$$

Combining this with (7.9) and inserting this back into (7.5) yields (1).
(2) We plug (2.13) into (1) along with the evaluation $a_f(\varrho;m)=2\omega_\varrho$ for $f(z)=\Psi_{2k,m}(z,\varrho)$ from (2.12) to obtain the claim. □

7.2. **The inner product as a residue.** To evaluate $\langle f,f_Q\rangle$, denote the poles of f in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})\backslash\mathbb{H}$ by $[z_1],\dots,[z_r]$, with $[z_\ell]\neq[z_j]$ for $\ell\neq j$.

Theorem 7.2. *Assume that $f\in\mathbb{S}_{2k}$ has its poles in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})\backslash\mathbb{H}$ at $[z_1],\dots,[z_r]$.*
(1) *We have*

$$\langle f,f_Q\rangle=2\pi i\sum_{\ell=1}^r\omega_{z_\ell}^{-1}\,\mathrm{Res}_{z=z_\ell}\left(f(z)\mathcal{G}^+_{Q,z_\ell}(z)\right).$$

(2) *If all poles of f are simple and $[z_\ell] \neq [\tau_Q]$ for all $1 \leq \ell \leq r$, then*

$$\langle f, f_Q \rangle = 2\pi i \sum_{\ell=1}^{r} \omega_{z_\ell}^{-1} \mathcal{G}_Q(z_\ell) \operatorname{Res}_{z=z_\ell} f(z).$$

Remark. Cycle integrals are integrals along geodesics C_Q in $\mathbb{H}$ between the two real roots of a quadratic form Q of positive discriminant, modulo the action of $\Gamma_Q := \Gamma_{\tau_Q}$. Theorem 7.2 generalizes cycle integrals for negative discriminants, since $\omega_{z_\ell}^{-1}$ times the residue is simply an integral around the pole z_ℓ modulo the action of Γ_{z_ℓ}. Also note that, by (5.9), $\mathcal{G}_{Q,\mathfrak{d}}^+$ has no singularities.

Proof of Theorem 7.2. (1) We show the result by rewriting Theorem 7.1 (1). Since

$$\frac{1}{2i\eta} = \operatorname{Res}_{z=\varrho} \left((z-\overline{\varrho})^{-2} X_\varrho^{-1}(z) \right) \quad \text{and} \tag{7.10}$$

$$\frac{2\pi i}{\omega_\varrho} \operatorname{Res}_{z=\varrho} \left(f(z) \mathcal{G}_{Q,\varrho}^+(z) \right) = \frac{2\pi i}{\omega_\varrho} \sum_{\substack{n \geq 0 \\ 1 \leq \ell \leq \ell_0}} a_f(\varrho; -\ell) a_{Q,\varrho}^+(n) \operatorname{Res}_{z=\varrho} \left(\frac{X_\varrho^{n-\ell}(z)}{(z-\overline{\varrho})^2} \right), \tag{7.11}$$

we see that

$$\langle f, f_Q \rangle = \frac{\pi}{\eta \omega_\varrho} \sum_{1 \leq \ell \leq \ell_0} a_f(\varrho; -\ell) a_{Q,\varrho}^+(\ell - 1) = \frac{2\pi i}{\omega_\varrho} \sum_{1 \leq \ell \leq \ell_0} a_f(\varrho; -\ell) a_{Q,\varrho}^+(\ell-1) \operatorname{Res}_{z=\varrho} \left(\frac{X_\varrho^{-1}(z)}{(z-\overline{\varrho})^2} \right) \tag{7.12}$$

is the contribution to (7.11) from the terms with $n - \ell = -1$. However, for $j \geq 2$, we expand

$$\frac{X_\varrho^{-j}(z)}{(z-\overline{\varrho})^2} = (z-\varrho)^{-j} (z-\overline{\varrho})^{j-2} = (z-\varrho)^{-j} \sum_{m=0}^{j-2} \binom{j-2}{m} (z-\varrho)^{j-2-m} (2i\eta)^m,$$

which has a trivial residue. We thus conclude that the only terms contributing to (7.11) are those in (7.12), and thus the claim follows.
(2) We rewrite $a_f(\varrho; -1)$ for f only having simple poles and $a_{Q,\varrho}^+(0)$ for $[\varrho] \neq [\tau_Q]$. By taking the residue at $z = \varrho$ of f on each side of (1.4), using (7.10) for the right-hand side, we obtain

$$a_f(\varrho; -1) = (2i\eta)^{2k-1} \operatorname{Res}_{z=\varrho} f(z). \tag{7.13}$$

Since $\delta_{[\varrho]=[\tau_Q]} = 0$ by assumption, plugging in $z = \varrho$ to both sides of (5.9) yields

$$a_{Q,\varrho}^+(0) = (2i\eta)^{2-2k} \mathcal{G}_Q(\varrho). \tag{7.14}$$

Hence

$$\langle f, f_Q \rangle = \frac{\pi}{\eta \omega_\varrho} a_f(\varrho; -1) a_{Q,\varrho}^+(0) = \frac{2\pi i}{\omega_\varrho} \mathcal{G}_Q(\varrho) \operatorname{Res}_{z=\varrho} f(z).$$

□

8. Rewriting the elliptic coefficients: proofs of Theorems 1.1, 1.2, 1.4, and 1.5

8.1. **Relating the elliptic coefficients to $\mathcal{F}_{n,Q}$.** In this section, we rewrite the coefficients from Theorem 7.1 in terms of the functions $\mathcal{F}_{n,Q}$, to prove Theorem 8.4. For this, we define

$$A_{Q,n}^{\pm}(\varrho) := \eta^{2k-2-n} a_{Q,\varrho}^{\pm}(n). \tag{8.1}$$

Theorem 8.1. *For all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $[\varrho] \neq [\tau_Q]$, we have*

$$A_{Q,n}^+(\varrho) = \frac{(-4)^{1-k}}{2k-1} \mathcal{F}_{n+1,Q}(\varrho). \tag{8.2}$$

The idea for proving Theorem 8.1 is to find a differential operator $\mathbb{D}$ so that $\mathbb{D}(A^+_{Q,\varrho}(0)) = A^+_{Q,\varrho}(n)$. Then we use the explicit shape of $A^+_{Q,\varrho}(0)$ given in (7.14). For this, we first determine the expansion of $\frac{\partial}{\partial\varrho}\mathcal{G}^{\pm}_{Q,\varrho}$. For uniformity, we set $a^-_{Q,\varrho}(0) := 0$.

Lemma 8.2. *Suppose that $\varrho \in \mathbb{H}$ with $[\varrho] \neq [\tau_Q]$.*

(1) *We have*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\varrho}\mathcal{G}^-_{Q,\varrho}(z) = \frac{(z-\overline{\varrho})^{2k-2}}{2i\eta}\sum_{n<0}\left(2i\eta\frac{\partial}{\partial\varrho}\left(a^-_{Q,\varrho}(n)\right) + na^-_{Q,\varrho}(n) + (2k-2-n)a^-_{Q,\varrho}(n+1)\right) \times \beta_0\left(1-r^2_\varrho(z);2k-1,-n\right)X^n_\varrho(z). \quad (8.3)$$

(2) *We have*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\varrho}\mathcal{G}^+_{Q,\varrho}(z) = \frac{(z-\overline{\varrho})^{2k-2}}{2i\eta}\sum_{n\geq 0}\left(2i\eta\frac{\partial}{\partial\varrho}\left(a^+_{Q,\varrho}(n)\right) + na^+_{Q,\varrho}(n) - (n+1)a^+_{Q,\varrho}(n+1)\right)X^n_\varrho(z).$$

Proof. (1) Since $[\varrho] \neq [\tau_Q]$, the first term in (5.9) vanishes. We next note that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\varrho}X^n_\varrho(z) = -\frac{n}{z-\overline{\varrho}}X^{n-1}_\varrho(z) = \frac{in}{2\eta}\left(X^{n-1}_\varrho(z) - X^n_\varrho(z)\right), \quad (8.4)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\varrho}\beta_0\left(1-r^2_\varrho(\tau);2k-1,-n\right) = -\left(1-r^2_\varrho(\tau)\right)^{2k-2}r^{-2n-2}_\varrho(\tau)\frac{\partial}{\partial\varrho}r^2_\varrho(\tau).$$

We thus have, by the product rule,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial\varrho}\mathcal{G}^-_{Q,\varrho}(z) &= (z-\overline{\varrho})^{2k-2}\sum_{n<0}\frac{\partial}{\partial\varrho}\left(a^-_{Q,\varrho}(n)\right)\beta_0\left(1-r^2_\varrho(z);2k-1,-n\right)X^n_\varrho(z)\\ &+ \frac{i}{2\eta}(z-\overline{\varrho})^{2k-2}\sum_{n<0}na^-_{Q,\varrho}(n)\beta_0\left(1-r^2_\varrho(z);2k-1,-n\right)\left(X^{n-1}_\varrho(z)-X^n_\varrho(z)\right)\\ &- \frac{i}{2\eta}(z-\overline{\varrho})^{2k-2}\sum_{n<0}a^-_{Q,\varrho}(n)r^{-2n}_\varrho(z)\left(1-r^2_\varrho(z)\right)^{2k-1}X^{n-1}_\varrho(z). \quad (8.5)\end{aligned}$$

We next shift all of the summation indices so that all powers of $X_\varrho(z)$ equal n and simplify. It remains to rewrite, for $n \leq -2$ (with $r = r_\varrho(z)$),

$$-(n+1)\beta_0\left(1-r^2;2k-1,-n-1\right) + \left(1-r^2\right)^{2k-1}r^{-2n-2}. \quad (8.6)$$

For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we compute

$$\beta_0(1-r^2;2k-1,m) = -\beta\left(r^2;m,2k-1\right), \quad (8.7)$$

and by 8.17.20 of [19] (for $m > 1$)

$$(2k-2+m)\beta\left(r^2;m,2k-1\right) = (m-1)\beta\left(r^2;m-1,2k-1\right) - r^{2m-2}\left(1-r^2\right)^{2k-1}. \quad (8.8)$$

By (8.7) and (8.8) with $m = -n$, we obtain that (8.6) equals

$$(2k-2-n)\beta_0\left(1-r^2;2k-1,-n\right).$$

Plugging back into (8.5) and simplifying yields the remaining term in (8.3).

(2) The claim follows easily by an analogous calculation using (8.4).

□

Corollary 8.3. *For $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we have*

$$A^+_{Q,n}(\varrho) = \frac{1}{n!} R^n_{2-2k}\left(A^+_{Q,0}(\varrho)\right).$$

Proof. We prove the identity by induction, by iteratively showing that for $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$A^+_{Q,n}(\varrho) = \frac{1}{n} R_{2n-2k}\left(A^+_{Q,n-1}(\varrho)\right).$$

However, since $0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial \varrho}\mathcal{G}_Q = \frac{\partial}{\partial \varrho}\mathcal{G}^+_{Q,\varrho} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \varrho}\mathcal{G}^-_{Q,\varrho}$, this follows directly from Lemma 8.2.

□

Proof of Theorem 8.1. We proceed by induction on n. For $n = 0$, (7.14) implies that $A^+_{Q,0}(\varrho) = (-4)^{1-k}\mathcal{G}_Q(\varrho)$. By (5.10) and definitions (1.2) and (1.5), the claim hence follows if we show

$$2^{2-3k} v_{\mathcal{Q}}^{1-k} \left(\varrho - \overline{\tau_{\mathcal{Q}}}\right)^{2k-2} \beta\left(1 - r^2_{\tau_{\mathcal{Q}}}(\varrho); 2k-1, 1-k\right) X^{k-1}_{\tau_{\mathcal{Q}}}(\varrho)$$
$$= \frac{1}{2k-1} D^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{Q}_\varrho^{1-2k} \mathcal{Q}(\varrho,1)^{k-1} {}_2F_1\left(k - \frac{1}{2}, k; k + \frac{1}{2}; \frac{D}{\mathcal{Q}_\varrho}\right). \quad (8.9)$$

To prove (8.9), we use (2.2) together with

$$\beta(w;\alpha,\beta) = \frac{w^\alpha}{\alpha} {}_2F_1\left(1-\beta, \alpha; \alpha+1; w\right),$$

to rewrite the left-hand side of (8.9) as

$$\frac{1}{(2k-1)2^{2k-1}} D^{\frac{1-k}{2}} \mathcal{Q}(\varrho,1)^{k-1} \left(1 - r^2_{\tau_{\mathcal{Q}}}(\varrho)\right)^{2k-1} {}_2F_1\left(k, 2k-1; 2k; 1 - r^2_{\tau_{\mathcal{Q}}}(\varrho)\right). \quad (8.10)$$

We next use the Euler transformation (6.3) and then the identity ($Y \neq 2$)

$${}_2F_1(a,b;2b;Y) = (1-Y)^{b-a}\left(1 - \frac{Y}{2}\right)^{a-2b} {}_2F_1\left(b - \frac{a}{2}, \frac{1-a}{2} + b; b + \frac{1}{2}; \frac{Y^2}{(2-Y)^2}\right)$$

to rewrite (8.10) as

$$\frac{1}{2k-1} D^{\frac{1-k}{2}} \mathcal{Q}(\varrho,1)^{k-1} \left(\frac{1 - r^2_{\tau_{\mathcal{Q}}}(\varrho)}{1 + r^2_{\tau_{\mathcal{Q}}}(\varrho)}\right)^{2k-1} {}_2F_1\left(k - \frac{1}{2}, k; k + \frac{1}{2}; \frac{\left(1 - r^2_{\tau_{\mathcal{Q}}}(\varrho)\right)^2}{\left(1 + r^2_{\tau_{\mathcal{Q}}}(\varrho)\right)^2}\right).$$

By (5.4), this equals the right hand side of (8.9), yielding the claim for $n = 0$.

We next consider higher order poles. By Corollary 8.3

$$A^+_{Q,n+1}(\varrho) = \frac{1}{n+1} R_{2n+2-2k}\left(A^+_{Q,n}(\varrho)\right).$$

By induction, (8.2) follows once we can show that

$$R_{2n+2-2k}\left(\mathcal{Q}_\varrho^{n+1-2k} \mathcal{Q}(\varrho,1)^{k-n-1} {}_2F_1\left(k - \frac{n}{2}, k - \frac{n+1}{2}; k + \frac{1}{2}; \frac{D}{\mathcal{Q}_\varrho^2}\right)\right)$$
$$= (n+1)\mathcal{Q}_\varrho^{n+2-2k} \mathcal{Q}(\varrho,1)^{k-n-2} {}_2F_1\left(k - \frac{n+1}{2}, k - \frac{n+2}{2}; k + \frac{1}{2}; \frac{D}{\mathcal{Q}_\varrho^2}\right). \quad (8.11)$$

We obtain (8.11) via a straightforward but lengthy calculation, using ($\mathcal{Q} = [a,b,c]$)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \varrho}\mathcal{Q}(\varrho,1) = 2a\varrho + b, \qquad \frac{\partial}{\partial \varrho}\mathcal{Q}_\varrho = \frac{i}{2\eta^2}\mathcal{Q}(\overline{\varrho},1), \qquad \frac{\partial}{\partial w} {}_2F_1(a,b;c;w) = \frac{ab}{c} {}_2F_1(a+1,b+1;c+1;w),$$

$$(c-a) {}_2F_1(a-1,b;c;w) = \left(-bw + (c-a)\right) {}_2F_1(a,b;c;w) + w(1-w)\frac{ab}{c} {}_2F_1(a+1,b+1;c+1;w).$$

□

8.2. **Proof of the main theorems.** By linearity, Theorem 1.4 is equivalent to the first part of the following theorem.

Theorem 8.4. *Suppose that $Q \in \mathcal{Q}_{-D}$ and $f \in \mathbb{S}_{2k}$ with poles in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})\backslash\mathbb{H}$ at $[z_1], \dots, [z_r]$.*
(1) *If $[\tau_Q] \neq [z_\ell]$ for all $1 \leq \ell \leq r$, then*

$$\langle f, f_Q \rangle = \frac{\pi(-4)^{1-k}}{2k-1} \sum_{\ell=1}^{r} \omega_{z_\ell}^{-1} \sum_{n \geq 1} \mathrm{Im}\,(z_\ell)^{-2k+n}\, a_f\,(z_\ell; -n)\, \mathcal{F}_n\,(z_\ell, \tau_Q)\,. \tag{8.12}$$

In particular, f_Q is orthogonal to cusp forms.
(2) *If $r = 1$ and f has a simple pole at $z = z_1 = \tau_Q$, then*

$$\langle f, f_Q \rangle = \frac{2\pi i}{\omega_{\tau_Q}} D^{\frac{1-k}{2}}\, \mathrm{Res}_{z=\tau_Q} f(z) \sum_{\mathcal{Q} \in [Q]}{}^{*} \mathcal{Q}(\tau_Q, 1)^{k-1} \int_0^{\mathrm{artanh}\left(\frac{\sqrt{D}}{\mathcal{Q}_{\tau_Q}}\right)} \sinh^{2k-2}(\theta) d\theta,$$

where in $\sum_{\mathcal{Q}\in[Q]}^{*}$ the term corresponding to $\mathcal{Q} = Q$ is omitted.

Remarks.
(1) Images of lifts between integral and half-integral weight weak Maass forms have Fourier expansions which can be written as CM-traces for negative discriminants and cycle integrals for positive discriminants [12, 16, 21]. Thus the appearance of CM-values of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$-invariant functions in Theorem 8.4 is natural. Since the generating function of Zagier's cusp forms for positive discriminants yields the kernel function for the first Shintani lift, one may ask whether the generating function for negative discriminants also has some connection to lifts. However, the naive generating function diverges and furthermore would have a dense set of poles in the upper half-plane.
(2) After viewing an earlier version of Theorem 8.4, Zemel obtained variants of Theorem 8.4 (2) and Theorem 7.2 in the vector-valued setting in Theorem 10.3 of [45].

Before proving Theorem 8.4, we remark that since z_ℓ is only chosen in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})\backslash\mathbb{H}$, the right-hand side of (8.12) must be invariant as a function of z_ℓ. The functions $z \mapsto \mathcal{F}_n(z, \tau_Q)$ are modular of weight $2n - 2k$ by Theorem 1.1 and Lemma 6.1, from which we conclude that $y^{-2k+n} a_f(z; -n)$ satisfies weight $2k - 2n$ modularity. This assertion is directly shown in the following lemma.

Lemma 8.5. *For f a weight $2k$ meromorphic modular form, $\mathfrak{z}_2^{-2k-n} a_f(\mathfrak{z}; n)$ has weight $2k + 2n$.*

Proof. Slashing the elliptic expansion (1.4) of f by the matrix $M^{-1} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and then using

$$\mathfrak{z} - M^{-1} z = \frac{j\,(M, \mathfrak{z})}{j\,(M^{-1}, z)}\,(M\mathfrak{z} - z)\,,$$

we obtain the claim by computing

$$a_f(M\mathfrak{z}; n) = j\,(M, \overline{\mathfrak{z}})^{-2k-n}\, j\,(M, \mathfrak{z})^{n}\, a_f(\mathfrak{z}; n).$$

□

Proof of Theorem 8.4. (1) Plugging (8.1) and Theorem 8.1 into Theorem 7.1 (1) yields

$$\langle f, f_Q \rangle = \frac{\pi(-4)^{1-k}}{2k-1} \sum_{\ell=1}^{r} \omega_{z_\ell}^{-1} \sum_{n \geq 1} \mathrm{Im}\,(z_\ell)^{-2k+n}\, a_f\,(z_\ell; -n)\, \mathcal{F}_{n,Q}\,(z_\ell)\,.$$

We then conclude (1) by Lemma 6.1.

(2) We use Theorem 7.1 (1) and determine the elliptic coefficients explicitly. We first note that by (5.10), (5.9), and (2.8) we have for $r_{\tau_Q}(z) \ll_Q 1$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
&(z-\overline{\tau_Q})^{2-2k} D^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{\mathcal{Q}\in[Q]}^{*} \mathcal{Q}(\tau_Q,1)^{k-1} \int_0^{\operatorname{artanh}\left(\frac{\sqrt{D}}{\mathcal{Q}\tau_Q}\right)} \sinh^{2k-2}(\theta) d\theta \\
&= (z-\overline{\tau_Q})^{2-2k} \mathcal{G}_Q(z) - 2^{2-3k} v_Q^{1-k} \beta\left(1-r_{\tau_Q}^2(z); 2k-1, 1-k\right) X_{\tau_Q}^{k-1}(z) \\
&= \sum_{n\geq 0} \left(a_{Q,\tau_Q}^{+}(n) - \frac{\delta_{n=k-1}\mathcal{C}_{2k-1,1-k}}{2^{3k-2} v_Q^{k-1}} \right) X_{\tau_Q}^{n}(z) + \sum_{n<0} a_{Q,\tau_Q}^{-}(n) \beta_0\left(1-r_{\tau_Q}^2(z); 2k-1, -n\right) X_{\tau_Q}^{n}(z).
\end{aligned} \tag{8.13}$$

By (8.7) and 8.17.24 of [19], for $n \in -\mathbb{N}_0$, we have

$$\left|\beta_0\left(1-r_{\tau_Q}^2(z); 2k-1, -n\right) X_{\tau_Q}^{n}(z)\right| = \left|\beta\left(r_{\tau_Q}^2(z); -n, 2k-1\right)\right| r_{\tau_Q}^{n}(z) \ll r_{\tau_Q}^{-n}(z),$$

and thus $\beta_0(1-r_{\tau_Q}^2(z); 2k-1, -n) X_{\tau_Q}^{n}(z)$ vanishes as $z \to \tau_Q$. Since $k > 1$, taking the limit $z \to \tau_Q$ on both sides of (8.13) hence yields

$$a_{Q,\tau_Q}^{+}(0) = \left(-4v_Q^2\right)^{1-k} D^{\frac{1-k}{2}} \sum_{\mathcal{Q}\in[Q]}^{*} \mathcal{Q}(\tau_Q,1)^{k-1} \int_0^{\operatorname{artanh}\left(\frac{\sqrt{D}}{\mathcal{Q}\tau_Q}\right)} \sinh^{2k-2}(\theta) d\theta. \tag{8.14}$$

The claim follows by plugging (8.14), (7.13), and Lemma 6.2 into Theorem 7.1 (1). □

Proof of Theorem 1.1. The identities follow directly by Theorem 8.1, (7.14), and Corollary 8.3, and then the modularity of $\mathcal{F}_{1,Q}$ in part (1) is a direct consequence of (5.6). □

To prove Theorem 1.2, we first show the following general assertions for the functions $\mathcal{F}_n$.

Proposition 8.6.

(1) *For $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\leq k}$ and $z, \mathfrak{z} \in \mathbb{H}$, we have*

$$\mathcal{F}_n(z,\mathfrak{z}) = -\frac{(2k-1)!}{2^k \omega_{\mathfrak{z}} (k-1)! (2k-n-1)!} y^{2k-2n} \overline{R_{0,z}^{k-n}\left(G_k(z,\mathfrak{z})\right)}.$$

(2) *For $n \in \mathbb{N}_{>k}$ and $z, \mathfrak{z} \in \mathbb{H}$, we have*

$$\mathcal{F}_n(z,\mathfrak{z}) = -\frac{(2k-1)!}{2^k \omega_{\mathfrak{z}} (k-1)! (n-1)!} R_{0,z}^{n-k}\left(G_k\left(z,\mathfrak{z}\right)\right).$$

Remark. It is natural to raise a weight $2-2k < 0$ modular object $k-1$ times to weight 0 and evaluate it at CM-points. For example, CM-traces appeared in the so-called Zagier lifts constructed by Duke and Jenkins [23] and later generalized by Guerzhoy and the first two authors [7]; these lifts were also studied in the context of theta lifts by Alfes [1]. Proposition 8.6 together with Theorem 1.1 show that applying raising in the above manner to $\mathcal{G}_Q$ essentially yields the higher Green's functions evaluated at CM-points, giving it another motivation.

Proof of Proposition 8.6. Recalling the definition (2.14) of the automorphic Green's function and definition (6.1) of $\mathcal{F}_n(z,\mathfrak{z})$, it suffices to prove the identities

$$\begin{aligned}
f_n(z,\mathfrak{z}) &= \frac{(2k-1)!}{2^k\,(k-1)!\,(2k-n-1)!}\, y^{2k-2n} \overline{R_{0,z}^{k-n}\left(Q_{k-1}\left(\cosh(d(z,\mathfrak{z}))\right)\right)} && (n \in \mathbb{N}_{\leq k}), \\
f_n(z,\mathfrak{z}) &= \frac{(2k-1)!}{2^k\,(k-1)!\,(n-1)!} R_{0,z}^{n-k}\left(Q_{k-1}\left(\cosh(d(z,\mathfrak{z}))\right)\right) && (n \in \mathbb{N}_{>k}).
\end{aligned}$$

The images under repeated raising on the right-hand sides are known in the literature (see, e.g., the 7th displayed formula on page 24 of [38]), although some rewriting is still required to get it in the form given on the left-hand side. However, for the convenience of the reader we present an alternative direct proof. For this, we first compute

$$R_{0,z}\left(\cosh(d(z,\mathfrak{z}))\right) = \frac{4i(\overline{z}-\mathfrak{z})(\overline{z}-\overline{\mathfrak{z}})}{(z-\overline{z})^2(\mathfrak{z}-\overline{\mathfrak{z}})} = -(\overline{z}-\mathfrak{z})^2\frac{\overline{X_{\mathfrak{z}}(z)}}{2y^2\mathfrak{z}_2}, \tag{8.15}$$

from which we conclude that $R^2_{0,z}\left(\cosh(d(z,\mathfrak{z}))\right) = 0$. Employing these identities, we prove, by induction on j, $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$, that

$$R^j_{0,z}(Q_{k-1}\left(\cosh(d(z,\mathfrak{z}))\right)) = \frac{\partial^j}{\partial Z^j}\left[Q_{k-1}\left(Z\right)\right]_{Z=\cosh(d(z,\mathfrak{z}))}\left(R_{0,z}(\cosh(d(z,\mathfrak{z})))\right)^j.$$

Using that for $j \in \mathbb{N}$ (cf. 8.752.4 of [25]),

$$\frac{\partial^j}{\partial Z^j}Q_{k-1}(Z) = \left(Z^2-1\right)^{-\frac{j}{2}}Q^j_{k-1}(Z),$$

with the associated Legendre function of the second kind Q^j_{k-1}, together with (see 8.703 of [25])

$$Q^j_{k-1}(Z) = \frac{(-1)^j(k+j-1)!\sqrt{\pi}}{2^k\Gamma\left(k+\frac{1}{2}\right)}\left(Z^2-1\right)^{\frac{j}{2}}Z^{-k-j}{}_2F_1\left(\frac{k+j+1}{2},\frac{k+j}{2};k+\frac{1}{2};\frac{1}{Z^2}\right),$$

for every $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and the duplication formula for the gamma function, we obtain

$$R^j_{0,z}(Q_{k-1}\left(\cosh(d(z,\mathfrak{z}))\right)) = \frac{2^k\left(k-1\right)!\left(k+j-1\right)!}{(2k-1)!}\frac{(-1)^j\left(R_{0,z}(\cosh(d(z,\mathfrak{z})))\right)^j}{2\cosh^{k+j}(d(z,\mathfrak{z}))}$$
$$\times {}_2F_1\left(\frac{k+j+1}{2},\frac{k+j}{2};k+\frac{1}{2};\frac{1}{\cosh^2(d(z,\mathfrak{z}))}\right). \tag{8.16}$$

(1) Writing $j = k-n$ $(n \le k)$ in (8.16) and applying (6.3), yields that $R^{k-n}_{0,z}(Q_{k-1}\left(\cosh(d(z,\mathfrak{z}))\right))$ equals

$$\frac{2^k(k-1)!(2k-n-1)!(-1)^{k-n}\left(R_{0,z}\left(\cosh(d(z,\mathfrak{z}))\right)\right)^{k-n}}{(2k-1)!2\cosh^n(d(z,\mathfrak{z}))\sinh^{2(k-n)}(d(z,\mathfrak{z}))}{}_2F_1\left(\frac{n}{2},\frac{n+1}{2};k+\frac{1}{2};\frac{1}{\cosh^2(d(z,\mathfrak{z}))}\right).$$

Next, combining

$$\sinh^2(d(z,\mathfrak{z})) = \frac{4(z-\mathfrak{z})(\overline{z}-\overline{\mathfrak{z}})(z-\overline{\mathfrak{z}})(\overline{z}-\mathfrak{z})}{(z-\overline{z})^2(\mathfrak{z}-\overline{\mathfrak{z}})^2}$$

with (8.15), we derive

$$\frac{\overline{R_{0,z}\left(\cosh(d(z,\mathfrak{z}))\right)}}{\sinh^2(d(z;\mathfrak{z}))} = -\frac{2\mathfrak{z}_2}{(\overline{z}-\mathfrak{z})^2\overline{X_{\mathfrak{z}}(z)}}.$$

With this, we conclude that $\overline{R^{k-n}_{0,z}(Q_{k-1}\left(\cosh(d(z,\mathfrak{z}))\right))}$ equals, as asserted,

$$\frac{2^k\left(k-1\right)!\left(2k-n-1\right)!}{(2k-1)!}\frac{(\overline{z}-\mathfrak{z})^{2n-2k}}{2\cosh^n(d(z,\mathfrak{z}))}\left(\frac{\overline{X_{\mathfrak{z}}(z)}}{2\mathfrak{z}_2}\right)^{n-k}{}_2F_1\left(\frac{n}{2},\frac{n+1}{2};k+\frac{1}{2};\frac{1}{\cosh^2(d(z,\mathfrak{z}))}\right)$$
$$= \frac{2^k\left(k-1\right)!\left(2k-n-1\right)!}{(2k-1)!}y^{2n-2k}f_n(z,\mathfrak{z}).$$

(2) We let $j = n - k$ with $n > k$ in (8.16) and plug in (8.15) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} R_{0,z}^{n-k}(Q_{k-1}(\cosh(d(z,\mathfrak{z})))) &= \frac{2^k (k-1)!\,(n-1)!(\overline{z}-\mathfrak{z})^{2n-2k}}{(2k-1)!2\cosh^n(d(z,\mathfrak{z}))} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{n+1}{2}, \frac{n}{2}; k+\frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{\cosh^2(d(z,\mathfrak{z}))}\right) \\ &= \frac{2^k (k-1)!\,(n-1)!}{(2k-1)!} f_n(z,\mathfrak{z}), \end{aligned}$$

as claimed. This completes the proof of the proposition. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We conclude (1) and (2) from Proposition 8.6 and Lemma 6.1.
(3) By Theorem 1.1, we have

$$R_{2-2k}^{2k-1}(\mathcal{G}_Q) = (2k-2)!\mathcal{F}_{2k,Q}.$$

Moreover, Bol's identity [5] states that $\mathcal{D}^{2k-1} = (-4\pi)^{1-2k} R_{2-2k}^{2k-1}$. Hence, by Theorem 5.1,

$$R_{2-2k}^{2k-1}(\mathcal{G}_Q) = (2k-2)! f_Q,$$

so that $\mathcal{F}_{2k,Q} = f_Q$. Since Theorem 1.1 further implies that for every $n \geq 2k$

$$\mathcal{F}_{n,Q} = \frac{(2k-1)!}{(n-1)!} R_{2k}^{n-2k}\left(\mathcal{F}_{2k,Q}\right),$$

the rest of the claim follows since raising preserves the space of almost meromorphic modular forms. □

Proof of Theorem 1.5. We let $f = f_{\mathcal{Q}}$ in Theorem 8.4 (1). By Lemma 2.2 and (2.12), we have $a_f(\tau_{\mathcal{Q}}; -n) = \delta_{n=k}(2v_{\mathcal{Q}})^k$ with $r = 1$. Plugging back into (8.12) yields

$$\langle f_{\mathcal{Q}}, f_Q \rangle = \frac{\pi(-4)^{1-k}}{2k-1} \frac{v_{\mathcal{Q}}^{-k}}{\omega_{\tau_{\mathcal{Q}}}} (2v_{\mathcal{Q}})^k \mathcal{F}_k(\tau_{\mathcal{Q}}, \tau_Q).$$

The claim follows by simplification and Theorem 1.2 (1).

□

9. Future questions

We conclude the paper by discussing some possible future directions that one could pursue:

(1) By linearity, Theorem 8.4 covers all cases of functions with at most a simple pole at τ_Q. Theorem 7.1 (1) treats poles of higher order at τ_Q. It would be interesting to bring these elliptic coefficients into a form resembling (8.12).
(2) As noted in Theorem 8.4 (1), f_Q is orthogonal to cusp forms. Combining the regularizations for growth towards the cusps and towards points in $\mathbb{H}$, one can further prove that f_Q is orthogonal to weakly holomorphic modular forms, but we do not carry out the details here.
(3) Another direction is mentioned in the remarks after Theorem 8.4. Recall the connection between the generating function of Zagier's $f_{k,\delta}$ ($\delta > 0$) and cycle integrals, which may be considered real quadratic traces. The appearance of CM-values in Theorem 8.4 hence makes one wonder whether there is a connection between CM-traces and the generating function of the $f_{k,-D}$. The naive generating function diverges, but it would be interesting to find a natural regularization.
(4) In Theorem 4.2 there is a technical restriction because for $n \geq k$ the naive definition of Φ_{n-k}^* has poles at every CM-point in $\mathbb{H}$. Since $\mathcal{F}_{2k,Q} = f_Q$ is also a theta lift (using the theta kernel Φ_k), it would be interesting to see if the other functions $\sum_{\mathcal{Q}\in[Q]} \mathcal{F}_{n,Q}$ are theta lifts for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Steffen Löbrich is currently investigating this question.

(5) In light of the connection in Theorem 1.5, it would be interesting to investigate Conjecture 4.4 of [27], concerning G_k evaluated at two CM-points. For $[\tau_Q] = [\tau_{\mathcal{Q}}]$, the naive definition of $G_k(\tau_Q, \tau_Q)$ diverges because of a logarithmic singularity. By Theorem 1.5, it is natural to replace $G_k(\tau_Q, \tau_Q)$ with a constant multiple of $\|f_Q\|^2$ in the conjecture for this special case. Given the connections to heights and geometry in [27], it would also be interesting to see if the identity in Theorem 1.5 holds for $k = 1$ and higher level.

(6) In Conjecture 4.4 of [27], Gross and Zagier take linear combinations of G_k acted on by Hecke operators and conjecture that these linear combinations evaluated at CM-points are essentially logarithms of algebraic numbers whenever the linear combinations satisfy certain relations. These relations are determined by linear equations defined by the Fourier coefficients of weight $2k$ cusp forms. Note that $G_k(z, \tau_Q)$ is essentially $G_Q(z)$ raised $k-1$ times by Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 (1), while $\mathcal{G}_Q$ is naturally related to weight $2k$ meromorphic modular forms via differential operators in Theorem 5.1. Translating the condition of Gross and Zagier from Conjecture 4.4 of [27] into a condition on polar harmonic Maass forms might be enlightening in two directions. On the one hand, it might carve out a natural subspace of weight $2k$ meromorphic modular forms (corresponding to image of those forms satisfying these conditions) which may satisfy other interesting properties. On the other side, by applying the theory of harmonic Maass forms, one may be able to loosen the conditions and investigate what happens for general linear combinations.

References

[1] C. Alfes, *Formulas for the coefficients of half-integral weight harmonic Maass forms*, Math. Z. **277** (2014), 769–795.

[2] C. Angelantonj, I. Florakis, B. Pioline, *One loop BPS amplitudes as BPS-state sums*, J. High Energy Phys. **06:70** (2012), 1–39.

[3] A. Beardon, *The geometry of discrete groups*, Grad. Texts in Math. **91**, Springer, New York, 1995.

[4] P. Bengoechea, *Corps quadratiques et formes modulaires,* Ph.D. thesis, 2013.

[5] G. Bol, *Differentialgleichungen*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hambg. **16** (1949), 1–28.

[6] R. Borcherds, *Automorphic forms with singularities on Grassmannians*, Invent. Math. **132** (1998), 491–562.

[7] K. Bringmann, P. Guerzhoy, B. Kane, *Shintani lifts and fractional derivatives for harmonic weak Maass forms*, Adv. Math. **255** (2014), 614–671.

[8] K. Bringmann, B. Kane, *A problem of Petersson about weight* 0 *meromorphic modular forms*, submitted for publication.

[9] K. Bringmann, B. Kane, W. Kohnen, *Locally harmonic Maass forms and the kernel of the Shintani lift*, Int. Math. Res. Not. **2015** (2015), 3185–3224.

[10] K. Bringmann, B. Kane, M. Viazovska, *Theta lifts and local Maass forms*, Math. Res. Lett. **20** (2013), 213–234.

[11] K. Bringmann, B. Kane, S. Zwegers, *On a completed generating function of locally harmonic Maass forms*, Compos. Math. **150** (2014), 749–762.

[12] K. Bringmann, K. Ono, *Arithmetic properties of coefficients of half-integral weight Maass-Poincare series*, Math. Ann. **337** (2007), 591–612.

[13] J. Bruinier, *Borcherds products on* $O(2, l)$ *and Chern classes of Heegner divisors*, Lecture Notes in Math. **1780**, 2002.

[14] J. Bruinier, *The Gross–Zagier formula and the Borcherds lift*, Proc. Natl. Inst. Math. Sci. **3** (2008), 1–8.

[15] J. Bruinier, J. Funke, *On two geometric theta lifts*, Duke Math. J. **125** (2004), no. 1, 45–90.

[16] J. Bruinier, K. Ono, *Algebraic formulas for the coefficients of half-integral weight harmonic weak Maass forms*, Adv. Math. **246** (2013), 198–219.

[17] J. Bruinier, K. Ono, R. Rhoades, *Differential operators for harmonic weak Maass forms and the vanishing of Hecke eigenvalues*, Math. Ann. **342** (2008), 673–693.

[18] J. Bruinier, T. Yang, *Faltings heights of CM cycles and derivatives of L-functions*, Invent. Math. **177** (2009), 631–681.

[19] Digital Library of Mathematical Functions, National Institute of Standards and Technology, website: http://dlmf.nist.gov/.

[20] W. Duke, Ö. Imamo$\overline{\text{g}}$lu, Á. Tóth, *Rational period functions and cycle integrals*, Abh. Math. Semin. Univ. Hambg. **80** (2010), 255–264.

[21] W. Duke, Ö. Imamoḡlu, Á. Tóth, *Cycle integrals of the j-function and mock modular forms,* Ann. Math. **173** (2011), 947–981.
[22] W. Duke, Ö. Imamoḡlu, Á. Tóth, *Real quadratic analogues of traces of singular invariants*, Int. Math. Res. Not. **2011** (2011), 3082–3094.
[23] W. Duke, P. Jenkins, *Integral traces of singular values of weak Maass forms*, Algebra Number Theory **2** (2008), 573–593.
[24] J. Fay, *Fourier coefficients of the resolvent for a Fuchsian group*, Crelle **293–294** (1977), 143–203.
[25] I. Gradshteyn, I. Ryzhik, *Table of integrals, series, and products*, Elsevier/Academic Press, Amsterdam, 7th edition, 2007.
[26] V. Gritsenko, V. Nikulin, *Automorphic forms and Lorentzian Kac-Moody algebras, Part II*, Internat. J. Math. **9** (1998), 201–275.
[27] B. Gross, D. Zagier, *Heegner points and derivatives of L-series*, Invent. Math. **84** (1986), 225–320.
[28] J. Harvey, G. Moore, *Algebras, BPS states, and strings*, Nuclear Phys. B **463** (1996), 315–368.
[29] D. Hejhal, *The Selberg trace formula for* $\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ *(Volume 2)* Lecture Notes in Math. **1001**, 1983.
[30] M. Hövel, *Automorphe Formen mit Singularitaten auf dem hyperbolischen Raum*, Ph.D. thesis, 2012.
[31] R. Howe, *θ-series and invariant theory* in Automorphic forms, representations and L-functions, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., XXXIII, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (1979), 275–285.
[32] Ö. Imamoḡlu, C. O'Sullivan, *Parabolic, hyperbolic, and elliptic Poincaré series*, Acta Arith. **139** (2009), 199–228.
[33] S. Katok, P. Sarnak, *Heegner points, cycles, and Maass forms,* Israel J. Math. **84** (1984), 193–227.
[34] W. Kohnen, *Fourier coefficients of modular forms of half-integral weight*, Math. Ann. **271** (1985), 237–268.
[35] D. Kramer, *Applications of Gauss's theory of reduced binary quadratic forms to zeta functions and modular forms*, Ph. D. Thesis, University of Maryland, 1983.
[36] W. Kohnen, D. Zagier, *Values of L-series of modular forms at the center of the critical strip,* Invent. Math. **64** (1981), 175–198.
[37] W. Kohnen, D. Zagier, *Modular forms with rational periods* in "Modular Forms," ed. by R. A. Rankin, Ellis Horwood (1984), 197–249.
[38] A. Mellit, *Higher Green's functions for modular forms*, Ph. D. thesis, Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn, 2008.
[39] T. Oda, *On modular forms associated with indefinite quadratic forms of signature* $(2, n-2)$, Math. Ann. **231** (1977), 97–144.
[40] H. Petersson, *Einheitliche Begründung der Vollständigkeitssätze für die Poincaréschen Reihen von reeler Dimension bei beliebigen Grenzkreisgruppen von erster Art*, Abh. Math. Semin. Univ. Hambg. **14** (1941), 22–60.
[41] H. Petersson, *Konstruktion der Modulformen und der zu gewissen Grenzkreisgruppen gehörigen automorphen Formen von positiver reeller Dimension und die vollständige Bestimmung ihrer Fourierkoeffzienten*, S.-B. Heidelberger Akad. Wiss. Math. Nat. Kl. (1950), 415–474.
[42] H. Petersson, *Über automorphe Orthogonalfunktionen und die Konstruktion der automorphen Formen von positiver reeller Dimension*, Math. Ann. **127** (1954), 33–81.
[43] D. Zagier, *Modular forms associated to real quadratic fields,* Invent. Math. **30** (1975), 1–46.
[44] D. Zagier, *The Rankin–Selberg method for automorphic functions which are not of rapid decay*, J. Fac. Sci. Tokyo **28** (1982), 415–438.
[45] S. Zemel, *Regularized pairings of meromorphic modular forms and theta lifts*, J. Number Theory **162** (2016), 275–311.
[46] S. Zhang, *Heights of Heegner cycles and derivatives of L-series*, Invent. Math. **130** (1997), 99-152.

Mathematical Institute, University of Cologne, Weyertal 86-90, 50931 Cologne, Germany
E-mail address: kbringma@math.uni-koeln.de

Mathematics Department, University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam, Hong Kong
E-mail address: bkane@maths.hku.hk

Fachbereich Mathematik, Technische Universität Darmstadt, Schloßgartenstr. 7, 64289 Darmstadt, Germany
E-mail address: pippich@mathematik.tu-darmstadt.de